

## **The IDEA Drift Chamber**



**Nicola De Filippis** Politecnico and INFN Bari



on behalf of the DCH community

## The 2024 International Workshop on Future Tau Charm Facilities







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under GA no 101004761.

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 871072

# The IDEA detector at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders

## **Innovative Detector for E+e- Accelerator**

**IDEA** consists of:

- a silicon pixel vertex detector
- a large-volume extremelylight drift chamber
- surrounded by a layer of silicon micro-strip detectors
- a thin low-mass superconducting solenoid coil
- a preshower detector based on μ-WELL technology
- a dual read-out calorimeter
- muon chambers inside the magnet return yoke, based on μ-WELL technology



Low field detector solenoid to maximize luminosity (to contain the vertical emittance at Z pole).

- → optimized at 2 T
- $\rightarrow$  large tracking radius needed to recover momentum resolution

# Design features of the IDEA Drift Chamber

For the purpose of tracking and ID at low and medium momenta mostly for heavy flavour and Higgs decays, the IDEA drift chamber is designed to cope with:

- transparency against multiple scattering, more relevant than asymptotic resolution
- > a high precision momentum measurement
- > an excellent particle identification and separation



# The Drift Chamber of IDEA

## The DCH is:

- a unique-volume, high granularity, fully stereo, low-mass cylindrical
- ➢ gas: He 90% iC₄H₁₀ 10%
- > inner radius  $R_{in} = 0.35m$ , outer radius  $R_{out} = 2m$
- length L = 4m
- drift length ~1 cm
- drift time ~150ns
- >  $\sigma_{xy} < 100 \ \mu m$ ,  $\sigma_z < 1 \ mm$
- 12÷14.5 mm wide square cells, 5 : 1 field to sense wires ratio
- 112 co-axial layers, at alternating-sign stereo angles, arranged in 24 identical azimuthal sectors, with frontend electronics
- 343968 wires in total:

sense vires: 20  $\mu$ m diameter W(Au) = > 56448 wires field wires: 40  $\mu$ m diameter Al(Ag) = > 229056 wires f. and g. wires: 50  $\mu$ m diameter Al(Ag) = > 58464 wires

the wire net created by the combination of + and –
orientation generates a more uniform equipotential surface
better E-field isotropy and smaller ExB asymmetries )



➤ thin wires → increase the chamber granularity → reducing both multiple scattering and the overall tension on the endplates



# Challenges for large-volume drift chambers

Electrostatic stability condition:  $\frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi\epsilon} \frac{L^2}{w^2} < wire tension < YTS \cdot \pi r_w^2$ 

 $\lambda$  = linear charge density (gas gain) L = wire length, r<sub>w</sub> wire radius, w = drift cell width YTS = wire material vield strength





The proposed drift chambers for FCC-ee and CEPC have lengths L = 4 m and plan to exploit the cluster counting technique, which requires gas gains ~5×10<sup>5</sup>. This poses serious constraints on the drift cell width (w) and on the wire material (YTS).

#### $\Rightarrow$ new wire material studies

#### Non-flammable gas / recirculating gas systems

Safety requirements (ATEX) demands stringent limitations on flammable gases; Continuous increase of **noble gases cost** 

 $\Rightarrow$  gas studies

#### Data throughput

Large number of channels, high signal sampling rate, long drift times (slow drift velocity), required for cluster counting, and high physics trigger rate ( $Z_0$ -pole at FCC-ee) imply data transfer rates in excess of ~1 TB/s

 $\Rightarrow$  on-line real time data reduction algorithms

New wiring systems for high granularities / / new end-plates / new materials





# Mechanical design of the DCH











N. De Filippis

# **Mechanical structure**

New concept of construction allows to reduce material to  $\approx 10^{-3} X_0$  for the barrel and to a few x  $10^{-2} X_0$  for the end-plates.

• separation of functions

#### **Gas containment**

Gas vessel can freely deform without affecting the internal wire position and mechanical tension.

## Wire cage

Wire support structure not subject to differential pressure can be light and feed-through-less







# Mechanical structure: wire cage



# Mechanical structure: the FEM simulation

Parametric Design exploration: varying input parameters in some possible ranges in order to see how the system responds - Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is used.

#### The input parametric variables are:

- 1. Height and thickness of the outer cylinder;
- 2. Dimensions (breadth and depth) of the spokes;
- 3. Dimensions (radius) of the cables;
- 4. Thickness of the inner cylinder.

## Change the material: from carbon fiber to Epoxy Carbon Unidirectional Prepeg

## select the optimal dimensions of the drift chamber

 total deformation of the model from 135,03 mm to 21,64 mm → still too high!

Parameters:	
Height:	200 mm
Innerthickness:	10 mm
Outerthickness:	14.4 mm
Rectangle_B:	9.6 mm
Rectangle_H:	16.6 mm
Circle_R:	1.5 mm
Responses:	
Maximum_Deformation:	22.995 mm (Linear Analysis)
Maximum_Deformation:	21.643 mm Non-Linear Analysis
Total_Mass:	2.6269 kg per sector
Total_Deformation_Load_Multiplier:	2.2068

# Mechanical structure: prestressing

Goal: minimizing the deformation of the spokes using prestressing force in the cables

Finding the correct prestressing force in 14 cables  $\rightarrow$  solving 15 dimensional optimization problem

Total deformation (mm) of the drift chamber with the edge of the outer cylinders fixed							
No pre	estress	Prestress in the cables					
Spokes	Outer cylinder	Spokes	Outer cylinder				
14.099	0.63	0.62	0.67				

## N.B.

- Prestressing not yet optimized
- 24  $\rightarrow$  36 spokes considered for this study

# 



The structure exhibited a deformation of  $600 \ \mu m$  but our goal was to limit the deformation of the spokes to  $200 \ \mu m$  while ensuring the structural integrity.

# Mechanical structure: a complete model

## A realistic complete model almost ready:

- mechanically accurate
- precise definition of the connections of the cables on the structure
- connections of the wires on the PCB
- location of the necessary spacers ٠
- connection between wire cage and gas containment structure

#### $\rightarrow$

## the final project will be ready by the end of 2023



Plan to start the construction of a DCH prototype full lenght, one sector, next year.

Lower junction: joint design



# Testbeam data analysis

## The Drift Chamber: Cluster Counting/Timing and PID

Principle: In He based gas mixtures the signals from each ionization act can be spread in time to few ns. With the help of a fast read-out electronics they can be identified efficiently.

By counting the number of ionization acts per unit length (dN/dx), it is possible to identify the particles (P.Id.) with a better resolution w.r.t the dE/dx method.



• collect signal and identify peaks

 record the time of arrival of electrons generated in every ionisation cluster

reconstruct the trajectory at the most likely position

➤ Landau distribution of dE/dx originated by the mixing of primary and secondary ionizations, has large fluctuations and limits separation power of PID → primary ionization is a Poisson process, has small fluctuations

The cluster counting is based on replacing the measurement of an ANALOG information (the [truncated] mean dE/dX ) with a DIGITAL one, the number of ionisation clusters per unit length:

**dE/dx**: truncated mean cut (70-80%), with a 2m track at 1 atm give  $\sigma \approx 4.3\%$ 

 $dN_{cl}/dx$ : for He/iC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>=90/10 and a 2m track gives  $\sigma_{dNcl/dx} / (dN_{cl}/dx) < 2.0\%$ 

## The Drift Chamber: Cluster Counting/Timing and PID

- Analitic calculations: Expected excellent K/π separation over the entire range except 0.85<p<1.05 GeV (blue lines)</li>
- Simulation with Garfield++ and with the Garfield model ported in GEANT4:
  - the particle separation, both with dE/dx and with dN<sub>cl</sub>/dx, in GEANT4 found considerably worse than in Garfield
  - the dN<sub>cl</sub>/dx Fermi plateau with respect to dE/dx is reached at lower values of βγ with a steeper slope
  - finding answers by using real data from beam tests





14

- Poissonian behaviour of the number of clusrers
- Meaurements vs predictions about the number of clusters are in very good agreement
- Same results in independent drift tubes

# Beam tests in 2021,2022 and 2023

Beam tests to experimentally asses and optimize the **performance of the cluster counting/timing** techniques in strict collaboration with the IHEP Beijing group:

- Two muon beam tests performed at CERN-H8 (βγ > 400) in Nov. 2021 and July 2022.
- A muon beam test (from 4 to 12 GeV momentum) in 2023 performed at CERN.





• Ultimate test at **FNAL-MT6** in 2024 with  $\pi$  and **K** ( $\beta \gamma = 10-140$ ) to fully exploit the relativitic rise.



## 2021/2022 testbeam: find electron peaks algorithms

## Find good electron peak candidates at position bin *n* and amplitude $A_n$ :

#### FIRST AND SECOND DERIVATIVE (DERIV) ALGORITHM

- Compute the first and second derivative from the amplitude average over two consecutive bins (1.6 ns for 1.2 GSa/s) and require that, at the peak candidate position, they are smaller than a r.m.s. signal-related small quantity and they increase (decrease) before (after) the peak candidate position of a r.m.s. signal-related small quantity.
- Require that the amplitude at the peak candidate position is larger than a r.m.s. signal-related small quantity and the amplitude difference among the peak candidate and the previous (next) signal amplitude is larger (smaller) than a r.m.s. signal-related small quantity.

#### NOTE:

 R.m.s. is a measurement of the noise level in the analog signal





## 2021/2022 testbeam: clusterization

Sense Wire Diameter 15 µm; Cell Size 1.0 cm; Track Angle 45; Sampling rate 2 GSa/s; Gas Mixture He:IsoB 80/20

- Merging of electron peaks in consecutive bins in a single electron to reduce fake electrons counting.
- Contiguous electrons peaks which are compatible with the electrons' diffusion time (it has a ~√t<sub>ElectronPeak</sub> dependence, different for each gas mixture) must be considered belonging to the same ionization cluster. For them, a counter for electrons per each cluster is incremented.
- Position and amplitude of the clusters corresponds to the position and height of the electron having the maximum amplitude in the cluster.

Poissonian distribution for the number of clusters!

Volt [V] 0.08 lectron Peaks from Primary Ionization Cluster Primary Ionization Clusters 0.06 Electrons found: 44 Expected Electrons: 42.4 Clusters found: 25 xpected Clusters: 26.5 0.04 Track Angle: 45.0 0.02 -0.02 140 160 80 100 120 180 200 240 time [ns]

Vaveform signal Ch3 - Event 58 - Sense Wire Diameter 15 um - Cell Size 1.0 cm - Track Angle 45.0 - run\_41 - 2.0 GSa's - Gas Mixture 80/20 1 - 90/10 0 - 85/15 0

## 2021/2022 testbeam: number of clusters

Sense Wire Diameter 15 µm; Cell Size 1.0 cm; Track Angle 45; Sampling rate 2 GSa/s; Gas Mixture He:IsoB 80/20



 $\alpha$  = angle of the muon track w.r.t. normal direction to the sense wire.

 $\delta$  cluster/cm (mip) changes from 12, 15, 18 respectively for He:IsoB 90/10, 85/15 and 80/20 gas mixtures. drift tube size are 0.8, 1.2, and 1.8 respectively for 1 cm, 1.5 cm, and 2 cm cell size tubes.

Poissonian distribution of the number of clusters and cluster size in acceptance with the expectation

## Beam test results: recombination and attachment

#### Space charge + attachment + recombination effects affect the experimental CC efficiency!

- The **loss of efficiency at small angles** is due to the partial shielding of the electric field due to the space charge.
- The loss of efficiency at large angles is partially due to the fact that increasing the number of clusters in the same drift time, increases the probability of pileup, then decreasing the counting efficiency.
- The lower counting efficiency in 2cm tubes compared to 1cm ones is only partially explained by the effects of recombination and attachment; other possible effects under investigation



N. De Filippis

## Beam test results: applying corrections



Cuts on the derivative algorithm, which were optimized without including the recombination and attachment effects, need to be reformulated. Also, these corrections, strongly depend on the drift length and, therefore, on the drift tube size and must be calculated for each

different drift tube configuration.

First attempt of re-tuning cuts on the DERIV algorithm for a 1 cm cell size drift tube

## Cluster counting with machine learning

The algorithm is under development at IHEP, for more information see <u>this talk</u> by Guang ZHAO.



Why LSTM? Waveforms are time series



- Architecture: LSTM (RNN-based)
- Method: Binary classification of signals and noises on slide windows of peak candidates

LSTM: Long Short-Term Memory

#### **Clusterization with DGCNN**

Why DGCNN? Locality of the electrons in the same primary cluster, perform massage passing through neighbour nodes in GNN



arXiv: 1801.07829

- Architecture: DGCNN (GNN-based)
- Method: Binary classification of primary and secondary electrons

DGCNN: Dynamic Graph Convolutional neural networks



LSTM model is better classifier compared to derivative-based model

# Data reduction and preprocessing

## Data reduction and pre-processing of DCH signals

High speed digitization (2 GSa/s) for CC  $\Rightarrow$  Transfer rate of TB/s

○ Data reduction strategy: transfer, for each hit drift cell, only the minimal information relevant to apply the Cluster Counting/Timing (CCT) techniques, i.e. the amplitude and the arrival time of each peak associated with each individual ionisation electron ⇒ CCT algorithms!

► Use of a FPGA for the real-time data analysis of drift chamber signals digitized by an ADC. Acquire the signals converted ⇒ process with cluster counting algorithms (aimed also at reducing the data throughput) ⇒ send the processed information to a back-end computer via an Ethernet interface.

 A fast read-out CCT algorithm has been developed as VHDL/Verilog code implemented on a Virtex 6 FPGA (maximum input/output clock switching frequency of 710 MHz). The hardware setup includes also a 12-bit monolithic pipeline sampling ADC at conversion rates up to 2.0 GSPS.

## Goal

To implement on FPGA more sophisticated peak finding algorithms for the **parallel pre-processing** of **many ADC channels**:

- reduce costs and system complexity
- gain on flexibility in determining proximity correlations among hit cells for track segment finding and triggering purposes.



# Cluster counting on a FPGA

- O We implemented successfully the CCT technique on a single-channel ADC
- O To implement the multi-channel DCH signals reading, different digitizers are under test:
  - 1) ADC TEXAS INSTRUMENT ADC32RF45
  - 2) CAEN digitizer
  - 3) NALU SCIENTIFIC ASoCv3



- Understand how to best implement the data transfer to the DAQ, using optical fiber with SFP + connectors or SFP + to RJ45 adapters to use the new 10Gbit/s standard (especially for (1) and (2)).
- Investigate the best way to save information before the transfer (we need it if a bottleneck during the transfer happens).

# Simulation of the DCH and performance

## **Detector simulation for IDEA**

# Geant4 and DD4HEP simulations of the IDEA geometry are available

 The DCH is simulated at a good level of geometry details, including detailed description of the endcaps; hit and digi creation (while track reconstruction code available in Geant4)



- SVX and Si wrapper are simulated too
- solenoid is also simulated in a simple way
- Dual readout calorimenter simulated combining DR fibers and crystals (in a fully compensating segmented calorimeter)







• Muon detector: simulated with a cylindrical geometry

## Track finding: performance of the current IDEA

For the Geant4 based simulation framework code:



# Summary/Conclusions

## Good progress reported on:

- mechanical structure project
- testbeam data analysis
- b data reduction and pre-processing
- simulation (geometry, perfoamance, cluster counting)

## Plenty of areas for collaboration:

- detector design, construction, beam test, performance
- Iocal and global reconstruction, full simulation
- > physics performance and impact
- ➢ etc.

Effort to build international collaboration on going (in some areas well advanced) and to be enforced

Manpower, funding under continuous discussion

## Thanks to all the contributors (many!)

# Backup

## 2021/2022 testbeam: clusterization

## CLUSTERIZATION algorithm: Reconstruction of Primary Ionization Clusters

- Merging of electron peaks in consecutive bins in a single electron to reduce fake electrons counting
- Contiguous electrons peaks which are compatible with the electrons' diffusion time (it has a  $\sim \sqrt{t_{ElectronPeak}}$  dependence, different for each gas mixture) must be considered belonging to the same ionization cluster.
- Position and amplitude of the clusters corresponds to the position and height of the electron having the maximum amplitude in the cluster. → Poissonian distribution for the number of clusters!

### Electron per Clusters Distribution





Sense Wire Diameter 20 um – Cell Size 1.0 cm – Track Angle 60° – 1.2 GSa/s – Gas Mixture He:IsoB 90/10 – 165 GeV

# Track finding – local method for DCH only

## Seeding from 3 hits in different layers with origin constraint

- Take any 2 free hits from different stereo layers with a gap (4 or 6 layers)
- Cross Point of 2 wires give Z-coordinate
- Select nearest free hits at middle (+-1) layer
- 2 hits from same stereo layer give initial angle in Rphi
- origin added with sigma  $R\phi \sim 1mm Z \sim 1mm$
- Seeds constructed for all 2x2x2=8 combination of Left-Right possibilities
- Checked that at -4 (+-1) layer are available free hits with  $\chi^2 < 16$
- Extrapolate and assign any compatible hits (by  $\chi^2$ ) from last to first hits
- Refit segment to reduce beam constraint
- Check quality of track segment:
  - $\Box \quad \chi^2/NDF < 4$
  - number of hits found (>=7)
  - number of shared hits (<0.4Nfound)</p>

Seeding with beam constrain	

#### Large combinatory:

local compatibility over different layers,

+ 1 from different stereo view

### **Studies made by using the Finite Element Method:**

- Element to test: surface body modelled with Shell element, spokes with Beam element and cables with Truss element
- Load to apply: uniformly distributed line pressure
- Boundary conditions: fixing the surface of the outer cylinder (undeformable) or fixing the edge of the outer cylinder (deformable)
- Parametric study on mesh size

				Equiva	alent Stress Ma	aximum	Equiv	valent Stress A	verage	Maximum Axial	Equivalent Stress	Mesh	Mesh	Solution	Number
Design	Mesh	Total defo	rmation Maximum	i	n Outer Cylind	ler	iı	n Outer Cylind	ler	Force	Maximum	Min	Average	Elapsed	Mesh
No	Size	Model	OuterCylinder	Averaged	Unaveraged	Nodal diff	Averaged	Unaveraged	Nodal diff	Spokes	Cables	Quality	Quality	Time	Elements
DP 0	50	142.993	16.637	1763	3233	2932	223	286	201	12132	3197	0.13	0.80	5	189
<b>DP</b> 1	45	145.261	17.378	821	1824	1694	219	273	190	12139	2992	0.34	0.89	7	206
DP 2	40	139.848	15.347	1777	3293	2996	226	282	200	12126	3204	0.39	0.92	12	216
DP 3	35	139.495	15.478	1329	3262	2937	213	261	197	12145	3184	0.47	0.93	7	251
DP 4	30	138.848	15.258	1273	2367	2069	212	253	178	12219	2880	0.46	0.92	12	266
DP 5	25	142.349	16.348	1259	2249	1939	194	228	141	12175	2853	0.44	0.95	9	320
DP 6	20	139.726	15.968	2028	2994	2603	157	180	104	12233	3056	0.68	0.97	17	425
DP 7	15	130.568	14.311	1750	3104	3007	162	177	81	12559	3146	0.04	0.96	27	607
DP 8	10	135.217	15.275	2376	2497	2058	143	151	52	12368	3227	0.67	0.99	22	1120
DP 9	5	135.033	14.734	1976	2386	1908	140	144	34	12294	3246	0.60	0.99	77	3838
<b>DP</b> 10	4	133.568	15.002	1860	2249	2043	137	141	30	12476	3221	0.60	0.99	324	5900
<b>DP</b> 11	3	134.377	14.570	2042	2683	2330	139	141	26	12311	3225	0.67	0.99	291	10228
DP 12	2	137.256	15.212	1681	2156	1998	136	139	24	12243	3275	0.67	0.99	2149	22337
DP 13	1	133.266	13.931	2472	2570	1981	131	132	7	12283	3152	0.59	1.00	12892	85442

A full scale model is built with ANSYS Workbench and loaded with uniformly distributed line pressure.

Materials: carbon for spoke, stainless stell for cables

#### Linear analysis HP: small deformations



The maximum deformation occurs on inner cylinder 1550,2 mm (not realistic)



Non-Linear analysis HP: Large strain, rotation, stress stiffening



## Time stepping algorithm:

- the time step size are automatically determined in response to the current state of the analysis under consideration.
- estimate the next time step size  $\Delta t_{n+1},$  based on current  $t_n$  and past analysis  $\Delta t_n$  conditions, and make proper load adjustments

The maximum deformation occurs on inner cylinder 133,27 mm (more realistic)



### The model developed was validated with 3 different configurations:

- 1. Materials: composite for spokes and steel for cables Boundary conditions: fixing the lower edge of the outer cylinder.
- 2. Materials: composite for spokes and steel for cables Boundary conditions: fixing the surface of the outer cylinder.
- 3. Materials: Structural steel Boundary conditions: fixing the lower edge of the outer cylinder

	Edge fixed	Face fixed	Edge fixed	
Material type	Composite and steel	Composite and steel	structural steel	
Max. Total deformation in model (mm)	135.03	96.83	108.37	
Max. Total deformation in outer cylinder (mm)	14.73	-	7.84	
Min. Axial force in Spokes (N)	-365.87	-1957.80	-1312.40	
Max. Axial force in Spokes (N)	12294.00	13497.00	13103.00	
Max. Equivalent stress in Cables (MPa)	3245.70	3350.90	3330.50	<b>Best configuration</b>
Avg. Equivalent stress in Cables (MPa)	71.49	89.95	82.88	Dest configuration
Max. Equivalent stress in Inner cylinder (MPa)	1646.70	1885.20	1952.90	
Avg. Equivalent stress in Inner cylinder (MPa)	280.11	317.02	335.90	
Max. Equivalent stress in Outer cylinder (MPa)	1976.00	-	1618.30	
Avg. Equivalent stress in Outer cylinder (MPa)	139.77	-	224.33	
Mass (kg) per sector	0.69587	0.69587	2.7773	
Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> ) per sector	3.54E+05	3.54E+05	3.54E+05	

## Cluster counting on a KCU105 + ADC ADC32RF45



- We have transferred the old code of the single channel ADC made in the old framework to the xilinx new frameworks The communciation with the new ADC was carried out and some simulations on timming and power consumption (next slide)
- We are developing the code to use SFP + connections for the 10Gb standard
- The integration between the CCT algortymus block have to be terminated



## Cluster counting on a Naluscientifc ASoCV3

- At the end of June we received the board with the ASoCv3, We are currently testing it with a pulse generator
- The next step is to connect it to the LMH6522 amplifier (4 channel amplifier) to do some tests with some tubes
- Currently we cannot implement the algorithm directly because we do not have some IP of the source code, we are in contact with Naluscientific. We use their software to collect the signal (Naluscope)





## Cluster counting on a Caen VX2740

- At the end of June we also received the CAEN digitizer (not in the version we need because it is still under development)
- We are becoming familiar with the openFPGA SCICompiler software (released a few days ago) and we have a trial license with a timebomb that does not allow us to do excessive development.
- We are waiting for Caen to have a full license





## Board for cluster counting: new idea ML algorithm



The first step required for the implementation of the neural network on the FPGA is the conversion of the high-level code used for the creation of the network (QKeras) into an High-Level Synthesis (HLS)

To accomplish this task, the hls4ml package will used.

A schematic workflow of hls4ml is illustrated in the figures.

- 1. The parts red indicates the usual software steps required to design a neural network for a specific task.
- 2. The blue section of the workflow is the task done by hls4ml, which translates the model into an HLS project that can be synthesised and implemented to run on an FPGA.

12

# Performance of the tracking with DCH

