CPT tests with neutral kaons

Antonio Di Domenico Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma and INFN sezione di Roma, Italy

Testing CPT: introduction

The three discrete symmetries of QM, C (charge conjugation: $q \rightarrow -q$),

P (parity: $x \rightarrow -x$), and T (time reversal: $t \rightarrow -t$) are known to be violated in nature both singly and in pairs. Only CPT appears to be an exact symmetry of nature.

CPT theorem holds for any QFT formulated on flat space-time which assumes: (1) Lorentz invariance (2) Locality (3) Unitarity (i.e. conservation of probability).

Extension of CPT theorem to a theory of quantum gravity far from obvious. (e.g. CPT violation appears in several QG models) huge effort in the last decades to study and shed light on QG phenomenology \Rightarrow Phenomenological CPTV parameters to be constrained by experiments

Consequences of CPT symmetry: equality of masses, lifetimes, $|q|$ and $|\mu|$ of a particle and its anti-particle.

Neutral meson systems offer unique possibilities to test CPT invariance; e.g. taking as figure of merit the fractional difference between the masses of a particle and its anti-particle:

 $m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0} \Big| / m_K < 10^{-18}$ $m_{_{B^0}} - m_{_{\overline{B}^0}} \Big| \Big/ m_{_B} < 10^{-14} \qquad \big| m_p - m_{\bar p} \big| \big/ m_p < 10^{-9}$ neutral K system **neutral B** system proton- anti-proton

Other interesting CPT tests: e.g. the study of anti-hydrogen atoms, etc..

Testing CPT: introduction

The three discrete symmetries of QM, C (charge conjugation: $q \rightarrow -q$),

P (parity: $x \rightarrow -x$), and T (time reversal: $t \rightarrow -t$) are known to be violated in nature both singly and in pairs. Only CPT appears to be an exact symmetry of nature.

CPT theorem holds for any QFT formulated on flat space-time which assumes: (1) Lorentz invariance (2) Locality (3) Unitarity (i.e. conservation of probability).

Extension of CPT theorem to a theory of quantum gravity far from obvious. (e.g. CPT violation appears in several QG models) huge effort in the last decades to study and shed light on QG phenomenology

 \Rightarrow Phenomenological CPTV parameters to be constrained by experiments

Consequences of CPT symmetry: equality of masses, lifetimes, $|q|$ and $|\mu|$ of a particle and its anti-particle.

Neutral meson systems offer unique possibilities to test CPT invariance; e.g. taking as figure of merit the fractional difference between the masses of a particle and its anti-particle:

 $m_{_{B^0}} - m_{_{\overline{B}^0}} \Big| / m_{_B} < 10^{-14}$

neutral K system **neutral B** system proton- anti-proton

$$
|m_p - m_{\bar{p}}|/m_p < 10^{-9}
$$

Other interesting CPT tests: e.g. the study of anti-hydrogen atoms, etc..

 \int_{K^0} – $m_{\overline{K}^0}$ $\left| / m_K < 10^{-18} \right|$

The neutral kaon two-level oscillating system in a nutshell

$$
\left|K_{S,L}\right\rangle \propto \left[\left(1+\varepsilon_{S,L}\right)\left|K^{0}\right\rangle \pm\left(1-\varepsilon_{S,L}\right)\left|\bar{K}^{0}\right\rangle \right]
$$

CP violation: T violation:

$$
\boxed{\varepsilon_{S,L}=\varepsilon\pm\delta}
$$

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{H_{12} - H_{21}}{2(\lambda_s - \lambda_L)} = \frac{-i\Im M_{12} - \Im \Gamma_{12}/2}{\Delta m + i\Delta \Gamma/2}
$$

CPT violation:

$$
\delta = \frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2(\lambda_S - \lambda_L)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(m_{\overline{K}^0} - m_{K^0}) - (i/2)(\Gamma_{\overline{K}^0} - \Gamma_{K^0})}{\Delta m + i\Delta\Gamma/2}
$$

- $\cdot \delta \neq 0$ implies CPT violation
- $\cdot \varepsilon \neq 0$ implies T violation
- $\varepsilon \neq 0$ or $\delta \neq 0$ implies CP violation

```
(with a phase convention \Im \Gamma_{12} = 0)
```

$$
\Delta m = m_L - m_S \quad , \quad \Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_S - \Gamma_L
$$

\n
$$
\Delta m = 3.5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ GeV}
$$

\n
$$
\Delta \Gamma \approx \Gamma_S \approx 2\Delta m = 7 \times 10^{-15} \text{ GeV}
$$

The neutral kaon two-level oscillating system in a nutshell

$$
\left|K_{S,L}\right\rangle \propto \left[\left(1+\varepsilon_{S,L}\right)\left|K^{0}\right\rangle \pm\left(1-\varepsilon_{S,L}\right)\left|\bar{K}^{0}\right\rangle \right]
$$

CP violation: T violation:

$$
\varepsilon_{S,L} = \varepsilon \pm \delta
$$

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{H_{12} - H_{21}}{2(\lambda_s - \lambda_L)} = \frac{-i\Im M_{12} - \Im \Gamma_{12}/2}{\Delta m + i\Delta \Gamma/2}
$$

CPT violation:

$$
\delta = \frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2(\lambda_S - \lambda_L)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(m_{\overline{K}^0} - m_{K^0}) - (i/2)(\Gamma_{\overline{K}^0} - \Gamma_{K^0})}{\Delta m + i\Delta\Gamma/2}
$$

huge amplification factor!!

- $\cdot \delta \neq 0$ implies CPT violation
- $\cdot \varepsilon \neq 0$ implies T violation
- $\varepsilon \neq 0$ or $\delta \neq 0$ implies CP violation

```
(with a phase convention \Im \Gamma_{12} = 0)
```

$$
\Delta m = m_L - m_S \quad , \quad \Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_S - \Gamma_L
$$

$$
\Delta m = 3.5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ GeV}
$$

$$
\Delta\Gamma \approx \Gamma_{\rm S} \approx 2\Delta m = 7 \times 10^{-15} \text{ GeV}
$$

neutral kaons vs other oscillating meson systems

KS,L semileptonic charge asymmetries

$$
K_{S} \text{ and } K_{L} \text{ semileptonic charge asymmetry} \qquad T \text{ CPT viol. in mixing}
$$
\n
$$
A_{S,L} = \frac{\Gamma(K_{S,L} \to \pi^{-}e^{+}v) - \Gamma(K_{S,L} \to \pi^{+}e^{-}v)}{\Gamma(K_{S,L} \to \pi^{-}e^{+}v) + \Gamma(K_{S,L} \to \pi^{+}e^{-}v)} = 2\Re\varepsilon \pm 2\Re\delta - 2\Re y \pm 2\Re x
$$
\n
$$
A_{S,L} \neq 0 \text{ signals CP violation}
$$
\n
$$
A_{S} \neq A_{L} \text{ signals CPT violation } (\Delta A = A_{S} - A_{L} \neq 0)
$$
\n
$$
A_{L} = (3.322 \pm 0.058 \pm 0.047) \times 10^{-3}
$$
\n
$$
K_{L} = (3.322 \pm 0.058 \pm 0.047) \times 10^{-3}
$$
\n
$$
A_{S} = (-3.8 \pm 5.0 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-3}
$$
\n
$$
K_{L} = 0.047 \times 10^{-3}
$$
\n
$$
K_{L} = 0.047 \times 10^{-3}
$$
\n
$$
A_{S} = (-3.8 \pm 5.0 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-3}
$$
\n
$$
A_{S} = (0.047 \pm 0.047) \times 10^{-3}
$$
\n
$$
K_{L} = 0.047 \times 10^{-3}
$$

KS,L semileptonic charge asymmetries

K_S **tagging at KLOE**

K initial entangled state f *k* o *k* o

For times
$$
t_1 >> \tau_S
$$
 (or $t_2 >> \tau_S$):
\n
$$
I(f_1, t_1; f_2, t_2) = C_{12} \{\eta_1\}^2 e^{-\Gamma_L t_1 - \Gamma_S t_2} + |\eta_2|^2 e^{-\Gamma_S t_1 - \Gamma_L t_2} -\frac{2|\eta_1||\eta_2|e^{-(\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L)(t_1 + t_2)/2} \cos[\Delta m(t_2 - t_1) + \phi_1 - \phi_2]\}
$$

 $i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

2

=> the state behaves like an incoherent mixture of states:

 $| K_{S}(t_{1}) \rangle | K_{L}(t_{2}) \rangle$ or $| K_{L}(t_{1}) \rangle | K_{S}(t_{2}) \rangle$

the selection of a pure K_S beam is possible exploiting entanglement unique at a ϕ -factory, not possible at fixed target experiments

A recent study on this quantum effect:

J. Bernabeu, A.D.D. "Can future observation of the living partner post-tag the past decayed state in entangled neutral K mesons?" PRD 105 116004(2022)

 K_S **tagged** by K_L **interaction** in EmC Efficiency \sim 30% (largely geometrical) K_S angular resolution: $\sim 1^{\circ}$ (0.3° in ϕ) K_S momentum resolution: \sim 2 MeV

CPT test at CPLEAR

Test of **CPT** in the time evolution of neutral kaons using the semileptonic Asymmetry, i.e. comparing "survival" probabilities: $K^0 \rightarrow K^0$ vs $\ \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$

A. Di Domenico The 2024 Int. workshop on Future Tau Charm Facilities – 16 January 2024 – Hefei, China

"**Standard**" **CPT test**

- Is it possible to test the CPT symmetry directly in transition processes between kaon states, rather than comparing masses, lifetimes, or other intrinsic properties of particle and anti-particle states?
- CPT violating effects may not appear at first order in diagonal mass terms (survival probabilities) while they can manifest at first order in transitions (nondiagonal terms).
- Clean formulation required. Possible spurious effects induced by CP violation in the decay and/or a violation of the $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule have to be well under control.
- \cdot In standard WWA the test is related to Re δ , a genuine CPT violating effect independent of ∆Γ, i.e. not requiring the decay as an essential ingredient.

Probing CPT: J. Bernabeu, A.D.D., P. Villanueva, JHEP 10 (2015) 139 Time-reversal violation: J. Bernabeu, A.D.D., P. Villanueva, NPB 868 (2013) 102

Time Reversal

•The transformation of a system corresponding to the inversion of events in time, or reversed dynamics, with the formal substitution $\Delta t \rightarrow -\Delta t$, is usually called 'time **reversal**', but a more appropriate name would actually be **motion reversal**.

•Exchange of in \leftrightarrow out states and reversal of all momenta and spins tests time reversal, i.e. the symmetry of the responsible dynamics for the observed process under time reversal (transformation implemented in QM by an antiunitary operator)

•Similarly for CPT tests: the exchange of in \leftrightarrow out states etc.. is required.

Direct test of CPT symmetry in neutral kaon transitions Reference *T* -conjug. *CP*-conjug. *CPT* -conjug. $\frac{K}{\sqrt{K}}$. By $\frac{K}{\sqrt{K}}$ in Regress Region

CPT symmetry test Possible comparisons between *CPT* -conjugated transitions and the associated CPT symmetry test

K experimental quantity scheme.

One can define the following ratios of probabilities:

$$
R_{1,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) = P\left[\mathbf{K}_{+}(0) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathbf{K}^{0}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{+}(\Delta t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
R_{2,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) = P\left[\mathbf{K}^{0}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{-}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathbf{K}_{-}(0) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^{0}(\Delta t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
R_{3,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) = P\left[\mathbf{K}_{+}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\bar{\mathbf{K}}^{0}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{+}(\Delta t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
R_{4,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) = P\left[\bar{\mathbf{K}}^{0}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{-}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathbf{K}_{-}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{0}(\Delta t)\right]
$$

Any deviation from $R_{i,{\rm CPT}}$ =1 constitutes a violation of CPT-symmetry R_{BV} doviation from $R = -4$ constitutes a violation of CDT overmotry. Any deviation from $R_{i,CPT}$ =1 constitutes a violation of CPT-symmetry

J. Bernabeu, A.D.D., P. Villanueva, JHEP 10 (2015) 139 **invariance is a signal of** *CPTT* **violation. D.D., P. Villanueva, JHEP 10 (2015) 139**

R3(\$t) = P

R4(\$t) = P

Direct test of T symmetry in neutral kaon transitions

T symmetry test

One can define the following ratios of probabilities:

$$
R_1(\Delta t) = P\left[K^0(0) \rightarrow K_+(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[K_+(0) \rightarrow K^0(\Delta t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
R_2(\Delta t) = P\left[K^0(0) \rightarrow K_-(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[K_-(0) \rightarrow K^0(\Delta t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
R_3(\Delta t) = P\left[\bar{K}^0(0) \rightarrow K_+(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[K_+(0) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0(\Delta t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
R_4(\Delta t) = P\left[\bar{K}^0(0) \rightarrow K_-(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[K_-(0) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0(\Delta t)\right]
$$

Any deviation from R $_{\sf i}$ =1 constitutes a violation of T-symmetry

J. Bernabeu, A.D.D., P. Villanueva: NPB 868 (2013) 102

•EPR correlations at a ϕ -factory can be exploited to study transitions involving orthogonal "CP states" K_{+} and K₋

f t1 3p⁰ **K0** p⁺l n t1 **K0** Dt=t2-t1 **K-** *ⁱ* ⁼ ¹ 2 *^K*⁰ ! (*^p*) *^K*⁰ [−]! (*^p*) [−] *^K*⁰ ! (*^p*) *^K*⁰ [−] ! [(*^p*)] ⁼ ¹ 2 *K*+ ! (*^p*) *^K*[−] [−]! (*^p*) [−] *^K*[−] ! (*^p*) *^K*⁺ [−] ! [(*^p*)] •decay as filtering measurement •entanglement -> preparation of state

•EPR correlations at a ϕ -factory can be exploited to study transitions involving orthogonal "CP states" K_{+} and K_{-}

•EPR correlations at a ϕ -factory can be exploited to study transitions involving orthogonal "CP states" K_{+} and K

•EPR correlations at a ϕ -factory can be exploited to study transitions involving orthogonal "CP states" K_{+} and K_{-}

•EPR correlations at a ϕ -factory can be exploited to study transitions involving orthogonal "CP states" K_{+} and K_{-}

 \cdot EPR correlations at a ϕ -factory can be exploited to study transitions involving orthogonal "CP states" K_{+} and K_{-}

KLOE and **KLOE-2** at the Frascati φ-factory DAΦNE

drift chamber; 4 m diameter \times 3.3 m length 90% He - 10% isobutane gas mixture

 $\sigma(p_1)/p_1 \simeq 0.4 \%$ $\sigma_{xy} \simeq 150 \ \mu m$ $\sigma_z \simeq 2 \ \text{mm}$

T, CP, CPT tests in neutral kaon transitions at KLOE *R*exp **I**(\), 3 0; *a*¹ ⁴*,^T* (*t*) ⌘ *L*UL (*PT tests in neutral kaon transitior D^T ,*⁴ = (1 4<*y*) ⇥ *DCPT* (1.18) *<u>PCP <i>CP***₂ ***CP***₃ ***CP*₃ *CP*₄ *CP*₄ *CP*₄ *CP*</u>

⁴*,CPT* (*t*) ⌘

*DCP,*² = (1 + 4<*y*) (1.19)

 ϵ in small parameters, the into account of $I(\pi^+\pi^- \pi^- \sigma^+ \nu^c \Lambda^+ \nu^-$

^I(⇡⇡*,* `+; *t*) (1.5) *^I*(⇡⇡*,* `; *t*) (1.7) **CPT** T CP observables

 $\frac{1}{1}$

 $(\Lambda + 1)$

$$
R_{2,\mathcal{CPT}}^{\exp}(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{I(\ell^-, 3\pi^0; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^-; \Delta t)} \qquad \qquad R_{2,\mathcal{T}}^{\exp}(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{I(\ell^-, 3\pi^0; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^+; \Delta t)} \qquad \qquad R_{2,\mathcal{CPT}}^{\exp}(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{I(\ell^-, 3\pi^0; \Delta t)}{I(\ell^+, 3\pi^0; \Delta t)}
$$

1.1 KLOE results

$$
R_{4,\mathcal{CP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{I(\ell^+, 3\pi^0; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^+; \Delta t)} \qquad R_{4,\mathcal{T}}^{\exp}(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{I(\ell^+, 3\pi^0; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^-; \Delta t)} \qquad R_{4,\mathcal{CP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{I(\pi\pi, \ell^+; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^-; \Delta t)}
$$
\n
$$
D \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{CP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t \gg \tau_S) \equiv \frac{R_{2,\mathcal{CP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t \gg \tau_S)}{R_{4,\mathcal{CP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t \gg \tau_S)} \qquad D \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{CP}}(\Delta t \gg \tau_S) \equiv \frac{R_{2,\mathcal{TP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t \gg \tau_S)}{R_{4,\mathcal{TP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t \gg \tau_S)} \equiv \frac{R_{2,\mathcal{CP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t \gg \tau_S)}{R_{4,\mathcal{CP}}^{\exp}(\Delta t \gg \tau_S)}
$$

Corresponding to study the following processes at KLOE: P.Villanueva-Perez:NPB868(2013)102, violations of *CPT* and/or the *S* = *Q* rule in semileptonic decays (*y*, *x*+, *x*), yield:

), and possible

⇡⇡ *ei*(*SL*)*^t*

Direct test of CPT symmetry in neutral kaon transitions

Survival probabilities vs Transitions:

comparison of sensitivity to "standard" CPT violation parameter δ

(for visualization purposes, plots with $\text{Re}(\delta) = 3.3 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ Im}(\delta) = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-5} = \text{present limits}$)

Direct test of CPT symmetry in neutral kaon transitions

Survival probabilities vs Transitions:

comparison of sensitivity to "standard" CPT violation parameter δ

(for visualization purposes, plots with $\text{Re}(\delta) = 3.3 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ Im}(\delta) = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-5} = \text{present limits}$)

Direct test of CPT symmetry in neutral kaon transitions

Survival probabilities vs Transitions:

comparison of sensitivity to "standard" CPT violation parameter δ

(for visualization purposes, plots with $\text{Re}(\delta) = 3.3 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ Im}(\delta) = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-5} = \text{present limits}$)

T, CP, CPT tests in neutral kaon transitions at KLOE Figure 5 presents a summary of the data distributions \mathcal{U}

Measured double kaon decay intensities

- residual background subtraction for πe^{\pm} ν 3 π^0 channel
- MC selection efficiencies corrected from data with 4 independent control samples black solid line are accepted.

A. Di Domenico The 2024 Int. workshop on Future Tau Charm Facilities – 16 January 2024 – Hefei, China ● T-violation sensitive observables were obtained

T, CP, CPT tests in neutral kaon transitions at KLOE T/CPT Tests with ; → üôü† → ° djd¢£,dd d¢£ *m*² ⁺ ⁺ *^m*² [−] cut 1.48 1.32 1.31 1.49 0.20 0.21 – 0.21 M(π*,*π) and |*p*\$| cuts 2.14 1.68 1.67 2.17 0.70 0.72 – 0.74 T

ation sensitive (left) and CPT-violation sensitive (right) cases. Dashed lines dailors levels lectronics maintenance: C. Piscitelli for his help during major main-

$$
|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[|K^0\rangle | \overline{K}^0 \rangle - | \overline{K}^0 \rangle | K^0 \rangle \Big]
$$

The EPR correlation suggested a simple test of quantum coherence

$$
I(\pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-; \Delta t) = \frac{N}{2} \left[\left| \left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- \right| K^0 \overline{K}^0(\Delta t) \right\rangle \right]^2 + \left| \left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- \right| \overline{K}^0 K^0(\Delta t) \right\rangle \right]^2
$$

-2 $\Re \left(\left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- \right| K^0 \overline{K}^0(\Delta t) \right\rangle \left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- \left| \overline{K}^0 K^0(\Delta t) \right\rangle^* \right) \right]$

$$
|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[|K^0\rangle \Big| \overline{K}^0 \Big\rangle - \Big| \overline{K}^0 \Big\rangle |K^0\rangle \Big]
$$

The EPR correlation suggested a simple test of quantum coherence

$$
I(\pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-; \Delta t) = \frac{N}{2} \left[\left| \left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- \right| K^0 \overline{K}^0(\Delta t) \right\rangle \right]^2 + \left| \left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- \right| \overline{K}^0 K^0(\Delta t) \right\rangle \right]^2
$$

$$
- \left(1 - \zeta_{0\overline{0}} \right) \cdot 2\Re \left(\left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- \right| K^0 \overline{K}^0(\Delta t) \right) \left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^- \right| \overline{K}^0 K^0(\Delta t) \right\rangle^* \right)
$$

 $i\rangle =$

1

2

The EPR correlation
\n
$$
\left[\left| K^{0} \right| \overline{K}^{0} \right\rangle - \left| \overline{K}^{0} \right\rangle \left| K^{0} \right\rangle \right]
$$
\nThe EPR correlation
\nsuggested a simple test
\nof quantum coherence

$$
I(\pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-;\Delta t) = \frac{N}{2}\left[\left|\left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\right|K^0\overline{K}^0(\Delta t)\right|\right]^2 + \left|\left\langle \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-\right|\overline{K}^0K^0(\Delta t)\right|\right]^2
$$

$$
-\left(1-\xi_{00}\right)\cdot 2\Re\left(\left\langle \pi^*\pi^-, \pi^*\pi^-\middle|K^0\overline{K}^0(\Delta t)\middle\rangle\left\langle \pi^*\pi^-, \pi^*\pi^-\middle|\overline{K}^0K^0(\Delta t)\right\rangle^*\right)\right]
$$

Decoherence parameter:

$$
\xi_{0\overline{0}} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad QM
$$

 $\zeta_{00} = 1 \rightarrow$ total decoherence (also known as Furry's hypothesis or spontaneous factorization) W.Furry, PR 49 (1936) 393

Bertlmann, Grimus, Hiesmayr PR D60 (1999) 114032 Bertlmann, Durstberger, Hiesmayr PRA 68 012111 (2003)

The EPR correlation
\n
$$
|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [K^0 \overline{K}^0 \overline{K}^0] - |\overline{K}^0 \overline{K}^0 \overline{K}^0] \qquad \text{The EPR correlation\nsuggested a simple test of quantum coherence\n
$$
I(\pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^+ \pi^-; \Delta t) = \frac{N}{2} [(\pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^+ \pi^- \overline{K}^0 \overline{K}^0 (\Delta t))]^2 + (\pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^+ \pi^- \overline{K}^0 K^0 (\Delta t))^2
$$
\n
$$
I(\Delta t) \text{ (a.u.)}
$$
\n
$$
I(\
$$
$$

KLOE-2 JHEP 04 (2022) 059

$$
\zeta_{00} = (-0.5 \pm 8.0_{stat} \pm 3.7_{syst}) \times 10^{-7}
$$

CP violating process:

terms $\zeta_{00}/|\eta_{+-}|^2~$ with $|\eta_{+-}|^2 \sim |\epsilon|^2 \sim 10^{-6}$ \Rightarrow high sensitivity to ζ_{00} ; CP violation in kaon mixing acts as amplification mechanism

In the B-meson system, BELLE coll. (PRL 99 (2007) 131802) obtains:

$$
\zeta_{_{0\overline{0}}}^{_B}=0.029\pm0.057
$$

Possible decoherence due quantum gravity effects (apparent loss of unitarity) implying also **CPT** violation => modified Liouville – von Neumann equation for the density matrix of the kaon system depends on a CPTV parameter γ [J. Ellis et al. PRD53 (1996) 3846]

In this scenario γ can be at most: $O(m_K^2/M_{PlANCK}) = 2 \times 10^{-20} \text{ GeV}$

KLOE-2 result

$$
\gamma = (1.3 \pm 9.4_{stat} \pm 4.2_{syst}) \times 10^{-22} \text{ GeV}
$$

$$
\phi \rightarrow K_S K_L \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-
$$
: CPT violation in entangled K states

In presence of decoherence and **CPT violation** induced by quantum gravity (CPT operator "ill-defined") the definition of the particle-antiparticle states could be modified. This in turn could induce a breakdown of the correlations imposed by Bose statistics (EPR correlations) to the kaon state:

[Bernabeu, et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180]. $I(\pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^-, \Delta t)$ (a.u.)

$$
|i\rangle \propto (|K^0\rangle |\overline{K}^0\rangle - |\overline{K}^0\rangle |K^0\rangle + \omega (|K^0\rangle |\overline{K}^0\rangle + |\overline{K}^0\rangle |K^0\rangle) \bigg|_{D,8}^{1.2}
$$

at most one
expects:

$$
|\omega|^2 = O\left(\frac{E^2 / M_{PLAVCK}}{\Delta \Gamma}\right) \approx 10^{-5} \Rightarrow |\omega| \sim 10^{-3}
$$

$$
\sup_{D,2} |\omega| = 3 \times 10^{-3}
$$

$$
\psi_{\omega} = 0
$$

$$
\Delta t / \tau_S
$$

In some microscopic models of space-time foam arising from non-critical string theory [Bernabeu, Mavromatos, Sarkar PRD 74 (2006) 045014] : $|\omega|$ ~ 10⁻⁴ ÷ 10⁻⁵

The maximum sensitivity to ω is expected for $f_1=f_2=\pi^+\pi^-$ (terms: $|\omega|/|\eta_{+}|$) All **CPTV** effects induced by QG $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)$ could be simultaneously disentangled.

$$
\phi \rightarrow K_S K_L \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-
$$
: CPT violation in entangled K states

In presence of decoherence and **CPT violation** induced by quantum gravity (CPT operator "ill-defined") the definition of the particle-antiparticle states could be modified. This in turn could induce a breakdown of the correlations imposed by Bose statistics (EPR correlations) to the kaon state:

[Bernabeu, et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180].

at most one expects: $5 \rightarrow |c_2|$ 10⁻³ 2 $\omega^2 = O\Big|\left.\frac{E^-/M_{\rm\,PLANCK}}{\Delta\Gamma}\right.\right| \thickapprox 10^{-5} \thickapprox |\omega|\!\sim\!10^{-5}$ \int $\left.\rule{0pt}{12pt}\right.$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ \setminus $\bigg($ $\Delta\Gamma$ $\omega^2 = O\left(\frac{E^2/M_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle PLAVCK}}{E^2}\right) \approx 10^{-5} \Longrightarrow |\omega|^2$ $\langle i \rangle \propto \left(\left| K^0 \right| \!\! {\overline K}^0 \right\rangle \!-\! \left| {\overline K}^0 \right\rangle \!\! {\left| K^0 \right\rangle \!\!{\left. \left| K^0 \right\rangle \!\! {\left| K^0$ $\propto (|K_{S}|K_{L}) - |K_{L}|K_{S}) + \omega (K_{S}|K_{S}) - |K_{L}|K_{L})$

 $I(\pi^+\pi^-,\pi^+\pi^-;\Delta t)$ (a.u.)

In some microscopic models of space-time foam arising from non-critical string theory [Bernabeu, Mavromatos, Sarkar PRD 74 (2006) 045014] : $|\omega|$ ~ 10⁻⁴ ÷ 10⁻⁵

The maximum sensitivity to ω is expected for $f_1=f_2=\pi^+\pi^-$ (terms: $|\omega|/|\eta_{+}|$) All **CPTV** effects induced by QG $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)$ could be simultaneously disentangled.

$\phi \rightarrow K_S K_L \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$: CPT violation in entangled K states

A. Di Domenico The 2024 Int. workshop on Future Tau Charm Facilities – 16 January 2024 – Hefei, China

Conclusions

- The neutral kaon system is a jewel donated to us by Nature.
- It is an excellent laboratory for the study of CPT symmetry and the basic principles of Quantum Mechanics.
- These studies are widely expanded with entangled neutral kaon pairs at a ϕ -factory.
- Several parameters related to possible:
	- CPT violation (within QM)
	- CPT violation and decoherence have been measured, in same cases with a precision reaching the interesting Planck's scale region;
- Direct test of CPT in transitions has been performed at KLOE-2
- All results so far are consistent with no CPT violation
- Other interesting tests (not discussed here) related to CPT violation and Lorentz symmetry breaking
- The deep connection of entanglement with discrete symmetries of neutral kaons is still surprising and continues to provide interesting results (e.g. the "from future to past" paradox, post-tagging effect in entangled kaons [PRD 105 116004(2022)]) with new results expected from the analysis of the whole dataset of KLOE+KLOE-2 of \sim 8 fb⁻¹.

spare slides

necessary to keep well used **Definition of states** and \mathbf{r} is the physical complex parameters of \mathbb{R}^k namely negligible direct CPT and CPT violation contribution contribution contributions in the \mathcal{N}_0 **and the validity of states** and *Q* rule, the ⊿_{*Q}* rule, the α </sub> Γ of \mathcal{L} and Γ and \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and the validity of the ∆*S* = ∆*Q* rule, they are treated separately.

We need two orthogonal bases:

1) $|K^0\rangle$ and $|\bar{K}^0\rangle$ assuming $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule identified by their $\pi l v$ decay (l^+ or l^-)

2) $|K_+\rangle$ and $|K_-\rangle$ (* not to be confused with charged kaons K⁺ and K⁻) L₊) and $|K_-\rangle$ (* not to be confused with charged kaons K⁺ and K⁻) Following to impose the correspondence that corresponds to the confused with charged kaons K⁺ and K⁻) *|*K\$ [−]! ≡ N\$[−] [*|*KL! − ηππ*|*KS!] (2.4)

so the south that the state of the state the state of the state *and victor-*
Interview of the state filtered, by the decay into π $\vert K_1 \rangle$ is the state intered by the decay into $\pi \pi$, a pure CP=+1 state
|K_) is the state filtered by the decay into 3 π^0 , a pure CP=-1 state Their orthogonal states correspond to the states that $K₊$) is the state filtered by the decay into ππ, a pure CP=+1 state $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{2}$, \frac **ate** $\frac{1}{2}$ → $|K_{+}\rangle$ is the state filtered by the decay into $\pi\pi$, a pure CP=+1 state
IK is the state filtered by the decay into 2, 0 s guys CD= 4 state and \overline{a} , (4.1)
that **a** that \overline{a} (4.1) \over

Cannot decay into ππ or 3π⁰ : Fig. 7

$$
\eta_{\pi\pi} = \frac{\langle \pi n | \mathbf{I} | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{L}} \rangle}{\langle \pi \pi | T | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} \rangle}
$$
\n
$$
\eta_{\pi\pi} = \frac{\langle \pi n | \mathbf{I} | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{L}} \rangle}{\langle \pi \pi | T | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} \rangle}
$$
\n
$$
\eta_{\pi\pi} = \frac{\langle \pi n | T | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} \rangle}{\langle \pi \pi | T | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} \rangle}
$$
\n
$$
\eta_{3\pi^0} = \frac{\langle 3\pi^0 | T | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} \rangle}{\langle 3\pi^0 | T | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{L}} \rangle}
$$

The orthogonal bases are: $\{N_+, N_-\}$, $\{N_+, N_-\}$ The $\mathsf{re:} \{K_+, \widetilde{K}_-\}$ $\{ \widetilde{K}_+, \widetilde{K}_-\}$ The orthogonal bases are: ${K_+, K_-}$ ${K_+, K_-}$

Even though the decay products are orthogonal, the filtered $|K_{+}\rangle$ states can still be non-orthogonal.
Condition of orthogonality: β _{*J*} conal, the gonal, the intered $|N_{+}\rangle$ and $|N_{-}\rangle$ Even though the decay products are orthogonal, the filtered $|K_+\rangle$ and $|K_-\rangle$ Even though the decay products are orthogonal, the filtered $|K_{+}\rangle$ and $|K_{-}\rangle$ *|*K+! = N⁺ [*|*KS! + α*|*KL!] (2.8) (neglection order terms in small parameters and for non-orthogonal.

Condition of orthogonality:

Condition of orthogonality:
\n
$$
\eta_{\pi\pi} + \eta_{3\pi^0}^{\star} = \epsilon_L + \epsilon_S^{\star}
$$
\nNeglecting direct CP violation ϵ' $|K_+\rangle \equiv |\widetilde{K}_+\rangle$
\n $|K_-\rangle \equiv |\widetilde{K}_-\rangle$

 $\sqrt{\pi \pi |T|}$

η
-
-
-

2

2

ππ − %KL*|*KS!

necessary to keep well used **Definition of states** and \mathbf{r} is the physical complex parameters of \mathbb{R}^k namely negligible direct CPT and CPT violation contribution contribution contributions in the \mathcal{N}_0 **and the validity of states** and *Q* rule, the ⊿_{*Q}* rule, the α </sub> Γ of \mathcal{L} and Γ and \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and the validity of the ∆*S* = ∆*Q* rule, they are treated separately.

We need two orthogonal bases:

1) $|K^0\rangle$ and $|\bar{K}^0\rangle$ assuming $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule identified by their $\pi l v$ decay (l^+ or l^-)

2) $|K_+\rangle$ and $|K_-\rangle$ (* not to be confused with charged kaons K⁺ and K⁻) L₊) and $|K_-\rangle$ (* not to be confused with charged kaons K⁺ and K⁻) Following to impose the correspondence that corresponds to the confused with charged kaons K⁺ and K⁻) *|*K\$ [−]! ≡ N\$[−] [*|*KL! − ηππ*|*KS!] (2.4)

so the south that the state of the state the state of the state *and victor-*
Interview of the state filtered, by the decay into π $\vert K_1 \rangle$ is the state intered by the decay into $\pi \pi$, a pure CP=+1 state
|K_) is the state filtered by the decay into 3 π^0 , a pure CP=-1 state Their orthogonal states correspond to the states that $K₊$) is the state filtered by the decay into ππ, a pure CP=+1 state $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{2}$, \frac **ate** $\frac{1}{2}$ → $|K_{+}\rangle$ is the state filtered by the decay into $\pi\pi$, a pure CP=+1 state
IK is the state filtered by the decay into 2, 0 s guys CD= 4 state and \overline{a} , (4.1)
that **a** that \overline{a} (4.1) \over

Cannot decay into ππ or 3π⁰ : Fig. 7

$$
\text{cannot decay into } \pi\pi \text{ or } 3\pi^0: \begin{aligned} |\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{-}\rangle &\equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_{-}\left[|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\rangle - \eta_{\pi\pi}|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\rangle\right] & \eta_{\pi\pi} &= \frac{\langle \pi\pi|T|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\rangle}{\langle \pi\pi|T|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\rangle} \\ |\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{+}\rangle &\equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_{+}\left[|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\rangle - \eta_{3\pi^0}|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\rangle\right] & \eta_{3\pi^0} &= \frac{\langle 3\pi^0|T|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\rangle}{\langle 3\pi^0|T|\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\rangle} \end{aligned}
$$

The orthogonal bases are: $\{N_+, N_-\}$, $\{N_+, N_-\}$ The $\mathsf{re:} \{K_+, \widetilde{K}_-\}$ $\{ \widetilde{K}_+, \widetilde{K}_-\}$ The orthogonal bases are: ${K_+, K_-}$ ${K_+, K_-}$

Even though the decay products are orthogonal, the filtered $|K_{+}\rangle$ states can still be non-orthogonal.
Condition of orthogonality: β _{*J*} conal, the gonal, the intered $|N_+\rangle$ and $|N_-\rangle$ %3π0*|T|*KS! Even though the decay products are orthogonal, the filtered $|K_+\rangle$ and $|K_-\rangle$ Even though the decay products are orthogonal, the filtered $|K_{+}\rangle$ and $|K_{-}\rangle$ *|*K+! = N⁺ [*|*KS! + α*|*KL!] (2.8) (neglection order terms in small parameters and for non-orthogonal.

where the con-

ιlectinα

Condition of orthogonality:

$$
\eta_{\pi\pi}+\eta_{3\pi^0}^{\star}=\epsilon_L+\epsilon_S^{\star} \quad \text{Neglecting direct CP violation ϵ'} \begin{equation} \epsilon'\\ |K_+\rangle\equiv\\ |K_-\rangle\equiv \end{equation}
$$

%3π0*|T|*KL!

DECL CFT

Neglecting direct CP violation ε'

 $\ket{\text{K}_{-}}\equiv\ket{\text{K}_{-}}$

| $\left| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right|$ $\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$ $\left| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right|$

 a , b , c , K_\pm c K_\pm c K_\pm K_\pm

 $|K_{+}\rangle \equiv |K_{+}\rangle$

 $\overline{}$

2

2

Conjugate= reference

Reference *T*-conjugate *CP*-conjugate *CP T*-conjugate $K^0 \rightarrow K^0$ **K**⁰ \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$ \rightarrow $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$ $K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$ | $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0$ | $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0$ | $K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$ $K^0 \rightarrow K_+ \begin{array}{c} K_+ \rightarrow K^0 \ K_- \rightarrow K_- \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_+ \ \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_- \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_+ \ K_- \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \hline \bar{\rm K}^0 \rightarrow {\rm K}_- & {\rm K}_- \rightarrow \bar{\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 \rightarrow {\rm K}_- & {\rm K}_- \rightarrow \bar{\rm K}^0 \ \hline \bar{\rm K}^0 \rightarrow {\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 \ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \bar{\rm K}^0\rightarrow {\rm K}^0 & {\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 \ \hline \bar{\rm K}^0\rightarrow \bar{\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 \ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \bar{K}^0\rightarrow \bar{K}^0 & \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0 & \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0 \ \bar{K}^0\rightarrow K_+ & K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 & \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0 & \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0 \end{array} \hspace{0.25in} \begin{array}{c|c|c} \textbf{K}^0\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0 & \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0 \ \hline$ $\begin{array}{c|c|c}\n\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_+ & K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 & K^- \rightarrow K_+ \n\hline\n\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_- & K_- \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 & K_- \rightarrow K_- \n\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \bar{\rm K}^0 \rightarrow {\rm K}_- & {\rm K}_- \rightarrow \bar{\rm K}^0 & {\rm K}^0 & {\rm K}_+ \ \hline \rm K}_+ \rightarrow \rm K^0 & \rm K^0 & \rm K_+ \rightarrow \bar{\rm K}^0 & \bar{\rm K}^0 & \rm K_+ \ \hline \end{array}$ $K_+ \rightarrow K^0 \begin{array}{c|c} K^0 & K^- \end{array}$ $K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$ $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_+ \rightarrow K_+$ $K_+ \rightarrow K_+$ K+ K_+ K+ K_+ K+ K_+ K+ K_+ $K_+ \rightarrow K_ K_- \rightarrow K_+$ $K_+ \rightarrow K_ K_- \rightarrow K_+$ $K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$ V^{0} V^{0} $K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$ \bar{K}^{0} $K_-\rightarrow \bar{K}^0$ $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_-\$ $K_-\rightarrow K^0$ $K^0 \rightarrow K_+$ $K_-\rightarrow K_+$ $K_+\rightarrow K_+$ $K_+\rightarrow K_+$ $K_+\rightarrow K_+$ $K_-\to K_ K_-\to K_+$ $K_-\to K_ K_-\to K_+$ Conjugate= reference already in the table with conjugate as reference

distinct tests T symmetry

distinct tests CP symmetry

distinct tests CPT symmetry