Searching for apparent baryon number violation in Λ_c^+ decays at STCF

Zeren Simon Wang 王泽人

Hefei University of Technology 合肥工业大学

Work in progress

in collaboration with Xin-Ru Tang, Yu Zhang, Yu Zhang, and Xiaorong Zhou

November 26$^{\text{th}}$, 2025

The 7$^{\text{th}}$ International Workshop on Future Tau Charm Facilities

Huangshan, Anhui, China

HEFEI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Motivation

- B: conserved perturbatively in the SM
- B: violated only through non-perturbative effects such as sphalerons and instantons: strongly suppresed at low energies
- Matter-antimatter asymmetry $\Rightarrow$ baryogenesis:
  Sakharov conditions including $\not{B}$
- No observation of BNV processes experimentally so far and Increasingly stringent bounds
- Study neutrino-extended EFTs with BNV and ν_s: νSMEFT & νLEFT



- STCF, large $\Lambda_c^+ \overline{\Lambda}_c^-$ production rates $\Rightarrow$ study $\Lambda_c^+ \to \pi^+/K^+ + \nu_s$
- Signature: $e^+e^- \to \Lambda_c^+ \overline{\Lambda}_c^-$, $\overline{\Lambda}_c^-$ tagging and $\Lambda_c^+ \to M^+ +$ missing
- *Apparent* BNV in Λ_c^+ decays at STCF

Model frameworks – the νLEFT

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}^{S,RR}_{cdd} &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\overline{c^c_{R\alpha}}d_{R\beta})(\overline{\nu_L}d_{R\gamma}) \\
\mathcal{O}^{S,RR}_{cds} &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\overline{c^c_{R\alpha}}d_{R\beta})(\overline{\nu_L}s_{R\gamma}) \\
\mathcal{O}^{S,RR}_{csd} &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\overline{c^c_{R\alpha}}s_{R\beta})(\overline{\nu_L}d_{R\gamma})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{cdd}_{\text{eff.}} &\supset \frac{c_{211}}{\Lambda^2}\mathcal{O}^{S,RR}_{cdd} + \text{h.c.} \\
\mathcal{L}^{cds,csd}_{\text{eff.}} &\supset \frac{c_{212}}{\Lambda^2}\mathcal{O}^{S,RR}_{cds} + \frac{c_{221}}{\Lambda^2}\mathcal{O}^{S,RR}_{csd} + \text{h.c.}
\end{aligned}
$$



νLEFT matched to BChPT

- To compute $\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \to \pi^+/K^+ + \nu_s)$, we follow the literature for matching the νLEFT to the BChPT
- However, BChPT is rigorously applicable only to the light-flavor baryon octet, and its direct use for the charmed baryon Λ_c^+ lies outside its formal domain of validity
- To account for this mismatch, we promote the light-baryon-level (proton) matrix elements to the Λ_c^+ case and apply a conservative order-unity variation to the corresponding hadronic form factors
- $\Rightarrow$ We vary each Λ_c^+ matrix element by a multiplicative factor of 2 and $1/2$ as a systematic uncertainty associated with extrapolating the low-energy EFT matching into the heavy-flavor sector
- Captures the expected size of SU(3)-breaking and heavy-quark–symmetry–breaking effects in the absence of a controlled chiral expansion for charm baryons.

The $|\Delta(B-L)|=2$ effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = g_{MB}^{\Lambda_c^+}\overline{B}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\Lambda_c^+\partial_\mu M + m_{Bs,L}\overline{\nu_s}P_R B + i y_{Ms,L}^{\Lambda_c^+}\overline{\nu_s}P_R\Lambda_c^+ M$$



$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2}/m_{\Lambda_c^+}^2 = \frac{1}{2}|y_{Ms,L}^{\Lambda_c^+}|^2(1+x_s^2-x_M^2) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{B,B'} g_{MB}^{\Lambda_c^+} g_{MB'}^{\Lambda_c^+ *} m_{Bs,L} m_{B's,L}^* g(x_B, x_{B'}) - \sum_B \mathrm{Re}(y_{Ms,L}^{\Lambda_c^+} m_{Bs,L}^* g_{MB}^{\Lambda_c^+ *}) h(x_B)$$

$$x_s = m_{\nu_s}/m_{\Lambda_c^+},\ x_M = m_M/m_{\Lambda_c^+},\ x_{B^{(\prime)}} = m_{B^{(\prime)}}/m_{\Lambda_c^+}$$

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \to M^+ + \nu_s) = m_{\Lambda_c^+}\frac{\lambda^{1/2}(1,x_M^2,x_s^2)}{16\pi}\frac{\overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2}}{m_{\Lambda_c^+}^2}, \qquad M^+ = \pi^+, K^+$$

The RPV-SUSY

- A light neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ being dominantly bino-like

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BNV-bino}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{bino}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{RPV}} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{bino}} &= -\sum_{q=d,s,c} g_{1R}^{\tilde{q}} \left(\bar{q}_{R,a} P_L \tilde{\chi}_1^0\right) \tilde{q}_{R,a} + \text{h.c.} + \ldots \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{RPV}} &= \lambda''_{212}\, \epsilon_{abc} \left(\tilde{c}^*_{Ra}\, \bar{d}_{Rb}\, s^C_{Rc} + \tilde{d}^*_{Ra}\, \bar{c}_{Rb}\, s^C_{Rc} + \tilde{s}^*_{Ra}\, \bar{c}_{Rb}\, d^C_{Rc} \right) + \text{h.c.}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
g_{1R}^{\tilde{q}} = -\sqrt{2}\, g_W\, e_q \tan\theta_W
$$



The RPV-SUSY matched to the νLEFT

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{BNV}} = \frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{s}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{s}}^2}(\overline{d_R}c_R^c)(\overline{s_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0) + \frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{d}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{d}}^2}(\overline{s_R}c_R^c)(\overline{d_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0) + \frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{c}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{c}}^2}(\overline{s_R}d_R^c)(\overline{c_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$$

- The first two terms match the h.c. counterparts of $\mathcal{O}_{cds}^{S,RR}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{csd}^{S,RR}$
- The third term can be Fierz-transformed:

$$(\overline{s_R}d_R^c)(\overline{c_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \overset{\text{Fierz}}{\approx} \frac{1}{2}(\overline{s_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0)(\overline{c_R}d_R^c) = -\frac{1}{2}(\overline{d_R}c_R^c)(\overline{s_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$$

  where we have ignored an extra tensor term that originates from the Fierz transformation and is expected to give negligible contributions
  - It matches the h.c. counterpart of $\mathcal{O}_{cds}^{S,RR}$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\text{BNV}} = 2\frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{s}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^2}(\overline{d_R}c_R^c)(\overline{s_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0) + \frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{d}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^2}(\overline{s_R}c_R^c)(\overline{d_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$$

- We have assumed squark-mass degeneracy and used $g_{1R}^{\tilde{c}} = -2g_{1R}^{\tilde{s}}$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{c_{212}}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{2\lambda''_{212}g_{1R}^{\tilde{s}}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^2}, \quad \frac{c_{221}}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{\lambda''_{212}g_{1R}^{\tilde{d}}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^2}$$

The very long decay lengths of ν_s and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

- For the considered mass range of interest, their decays are highly suppressed
- ν_s: decay amplitudes suppressed by the small values of the Wilson coefficients, off-shell propagators of a W and a down-type quark
- $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$: decay amplitudes suppressed by three off-shell propagators (a squark, a W-boson, and a down-type quark), CKM matrix elements, tiny RPV couplings, as well as the absence of squark mixing
- $\Rightarrow$ Both ν_s and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ appear as missing energy in the main detector

The STCF and search analysis

- STCF: an energy-symmetry e^-e^+ collider, $E_{\rm COM} = 4.630$ GeV$\Rightarrow N_{\Lambda_c^+\overline{\Lambda}_c^-} = 5.6 \times 10^8$ per year with 1 ab^{-1}
- Simulate both signal and background events with OSCAR
- $e^+e^- \to \Lambda_c^+\overline{\Lambda}_c^-$: tag $\overline{\Lambda}_c^-$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \to M^+ + \nu_s/\tilde{\chi}_1^0$
- Signature: $\overline{\Lambda}_c^-$ and M^+ + missing
- What we have done:
  - Simulated the tag channel $\overline{\Lambda}_c^- \to \bar{p}K^+\pi^-$ with BR$= 6.35\%$, found a reconstruction efficiency of $\sim 39\%$ for the $\Lambda_c^+ \to M^+$ transition
- To-do:
  - Five more tag channels to do: $\overline{\Lambda}\pi^-$, $\overline{\Lambda}\pi^-\pi^0$, $\bar{p}K_S^0$, $\overline{\Sigma}^0\pi^-$, $\overline{\Sigma}^-\pi^-\pi^+$
  - Inclusive background simulations (expected to be low)
  - Selection cuts
- For now: use a flat efficiency ϵ of 39% for all analyses, assume zero background, a factor 2.5 to include all tag channels:

$$N_S = 2 \cdot N_{\Lambda_c^+\overline{\Lambda}_c^-} \cdot {\rm BR}(\Lambda_c^+ \to M^+ + \nu_s/\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \cdot {\rm BR}(\overline{\Lambda}_c^- \to \bar{p}K^+\pi^-) \cdot 2.5 \cdot \epsilon$$

Numerical results – EFT

- Bound on $\mathrm{BR}(\Lambda_c^+ \to M^+ + \nu_s/\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$



Probe Λ up to $5 - 10$ TeV for $c = 1$

Numerical results – RPV-SUSY



Probe λ''_{212} down to $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$ for $m_{\tilde{q}} = 2$ TeV

Summary

- Propose to search for a BNV signature: $\Lambda_c^+ \to \pi^+/K^+ + \text{missing}$, predicted in a wide range of BSM scenarios
- $\mathcal{O}(10^8)\Lambda_c^+\overline{\Lambda}_c^-$ events at STCF per year
- MC simulations with OSCAR to determine acceptance and reconstruction efficiencies of both background and signal events
- Partly done: one tag channel for the signal events
- Promising results with current estimates: probing Λ up to 5 – 10 TeV
- Work to do: five more tag channels, inclusive backgrounds, and selection cuts

Thank You! 感谢垂听！

Back-up slides

Matching hadronic form factors

Process	$g_{MB}^{\Lambda_c^+}$	$m_{Bs,L}$	$y_{Ms,L}^{\Lambda_c^+}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \pi^+ \nu_s$	$g_{\pi\Sigma_c^0}^{\Lambda_c^+} = \frac{D+F}{f_\pi}$	$m_{\Sigma_{cs,L}^0} = \frac{c_{211}}{\Lambda^2}(\beta)$	$y_{\pi s,L}^{\Lambda_c^+} = \frac{c_{211}}{\Lambda^2}(-\frac{\beta}{f_\pi})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to K^+ \nu_s$	$g_{K\Xi_c'^0}^{\Lambda_c^+} = \frac{D-F}{\sqrt{2} f_\pi}$ $g_{K\Xi_c^0}^{\Lambda_c^+} = \frac{D+3F}{\sqrt{6} f_\pi}$	$m_{\Xi_{cs,L}'^0} = \frac{c_{221}}{\Lambda^2}(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}})$ $m_{\Xi_{cs,L}^0} = \frac{c_{221}}{\Lambda^2}(-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{6}}) + \frac{c_{212}}{\Lambda^2}(-\beta\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}})$	$y_{Ks,L}^{\Lambda_c^+} = \frac{c_{212}}{\Lambda^2}(-\frac{\beta}{f_\pi})$

Matrix element for $\Lambda_c^+ \to M^+ + \nu_s$ & Kinematic functions

$$i\mathcal{M} = \overline{u_{\nu_s}} P_R \Big(-y_{Ms,L}^{\Lambda_c^+} + \sum_B m_{Bs,L} \frac{\not{k} + m_B}{k^2 - m_B^2} g_{MB}^{\Lambda_c^+} \not{p}_M \gamma_5 \Big) u_{\Lambda_c^+}$$

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \frac{(x_s^2 + x_1 x_2)(1 - x_M^2 - x_s^2(2 + x_M^2) + x_s^4) - 2x_s^2 x_M^2 (x_1 + x_2)}{(x_1^2 - x_s^2)(x_2^2 - x_s^2)}$$

$$h(x_B) = \frac{x_B(1 - x_M^2 - x_s^2) - x_s^2(1 + x_M^2 - x_s^2)}{x_B^2 - x_s^2}$$

Numerical values of hadronic form factors

$$\beta_{\Lambda_c^+} = 0.835 \times 10^{-2} \text{ GeV}^3$$

deduced in [Dib, Helo, Lyubovitskij, Neill, Soffer, ZSW 2023] from predictions of QCD sum-rule approaches

$$D = 0.730, F = 0.447, f_\pi = 0.13041 \text{ GeV}$$

Derivation of the eff. Lagrangian from the RPV-SUSY – I

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{BNV}} = \mathcal{L}^{scd\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + \mathcal{L}^{cds\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + \mathcal{L}^{dcs\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{q_1 q_2 q_3 \tilde{\chi}_1^0} = \mathcal{O}^{q_1 q_2 q_3}\, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{q_1 q_2 q_3} = g^{\tilde{q}_1 R} \mathcal{O}^{LL}_{q_1 q_2 q_3}$$

$$g^{\tilde{q}R} = \frac{g^{\tilde{q}}_{1R} \lambda''_{212}}{m^2_{\tilde{q}}}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{LL}_{q_1 q_2 q_3} = \varepsilon_{abc} \left(\bar{q}_{3,c} P_L C \bar{q}^T_{2,b} \right) \bar{q}_{1,a} P_L$$

$C = i\gamma^0\gamma^2$: the charge conjugation matrix.

and $\mathcal{O}^{S,RR}_{cds} = (\overline{c^c_R} d_R)(\overline{\nu_L} s_R)$ & h.c. $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{S,RR}_{cds} = (\overline{d_R} c^c_R)(\overline{s_R} \nu_L)$

$\mathcal{O}^{S,RR}_{csd} = (\overline{c^c_R} s_R)(\overline{\nu_L} d_R)$ $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{S,RR}_{csd} = (\overline{s_R} c^c_R)(\overline{d_R} \nu_L)$

Derivation of the eff. Lagrangian from the RPV-SUSY – II

The corresponding effective Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L}^{\rm BNV} = \frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{s}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{s}}^2}(\overline{d_R}c_R^c)(\overline{s_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0) + \frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{d}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{d}}^2}(\overline{s_R}c_R^c)(\overline{d_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0) + \frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{c}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{c}}^2}(\overline{s_R}d_R^c)(\overline{c_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$$

The first two terms match with $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{cds}^{S,RR}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{csd}^{S,RR}$

The operator in the third term – Fierz transf.:

$$(\overline{s_R}d_R^c)(\overline{c_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \overset{\rm Fierz}{\approx} \frac{1}{2}(\overline{s_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0)(\overline{c_R}d_R^c) = -\frac{1}{2}(\overline{d_R}c_R^c)(\overline{s_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$$

where an extra tensor term that originates from the Fierz transformation and is expected to give negligible contributions only, has been ignored

The last expression matches $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{cds}^{S,RR}$

$$\mathcal{L}^{\rm BNV} = 2\frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{s}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^2}(\overline{d_R}c_R^c)(\overline{s_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0) + \frac{g_{1R}^{\tilde{d}}\lambda''_{212}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^2}(\overline{s_R}c_R^c)(\overline{d_R}\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$$

with squark-mass degeneracy and the relation $g_{1R}^{\tilde{c}} = -2g_{1R}^{\tilde{s}}$

$$\frac{c_{212}}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{2\lambda''_{212}g_{1R}^{\tilde{s}}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^2}, \qquad \frac{c_{221}}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{\lambda''_{212}g_{1R}^{\tilde{d}}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^2}$$

Preliminary efficiencies

Miss质量 (GeV)	K^+, N效率
0.93827	38.82%
1.110	38.98%
1.280	39.00%
1.450	38.89%
1.620	36.95%

Miss质量 (GeV)	π^+, N效率
0.93827	39.18%
1.11	39.62%
1.28	40.13%
1.45	40.78%
1.62	40.26%
1.79278	39.13%
1.935	38.38%
2.075	38.44%