Effective field theory of inflation with strongly non-geodesic motion

Based on 1805.12563, 1902.03221, 2503.18516 with J. Fumagalli, Y. Lu, L. Pinol, J. Ronayne, S. Renaux-Petel

Sebastian Garcia-Saenz SUSTech

Plan of the talk

- Multi-field inflation and strongly non-geodesic motion
- Effective field theory with imaginary speed of sound
- Hyper-non-Gaussianities
- Loops and perturbative control

Multi-field inflation

'Vanilla' single-field inflation

$$S[g,\phi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{\mu}\phi\right]$$

- Pros: - simple
 - consistent with data
- Cons:



- top-down realization? (UV sensitivity, large-field excursions, ...)

`boring' phenomenology (non-Gaussianities, gravitational waves, ...)

Multi-field inflation

Multi-field inflation

$$S[g,\phi^{I}] = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{IJ}\nabla^{\mu}\phi^{I}\nabla_{\mu}\phi^{J} - V(\phi)\right]$$

- Natural expectation from UV realizations
- Interesting observables
 - enhanced fluctuations
 - non-Gaussianities
 - destabilization



Credit: S. Renaux-Petel



Multi-field inflation

Multi-field inflation with non-canonical kinetic terms

$$S[g,\phi^{I}] = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}G_{IJ}\right]$$

- $G_{II}(\phi) \rightarrow$ field space metric
- $R^{I}_{IKI} \neq 0 \rightarrow$ in general, curved field space
- Includes a large class of top-down constructions

Baumann, McAllister (2014)

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)





Credit: S. Renaux-Petel



- My approach: bottom-up, but top-down motivated
- Why interesting?
 - new mechanism to inflate: inflation on steep potentials
 - new EFT of fluctuations: imaginary speed of sound
- Geometric intuition: negative curvature tends to destabilize fluctuations





Scalar fields:

 $\phi^{I}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \bar{\phi}^{I}(t) + Q^{I}(t, \mathbf{x})$

background (inflaton)

• Adiabatic-entropic basis (two fields):



S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

fluctuation

 ϕ_2







$$e_{\sigma}^{I} = \frac{\dot{\phi}^{I}}{\dot{\sigma}}$$

$$Q_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2\epsilon}M_{\rm Pl}\zeta$$

$$\mathcal{D}_t e_{\sigma}^I = H\eta_{\perp} e_s^I$$

covariant directional derivative



Bending parameter

dimensionless measure of geodesic deviation

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

 $Q^{I} = Q_{\sigma} e_{\sigma}^{I} + Q_{s} e_{s}^{I}$ adiabatic mode

H : Hubble parameter

curvature perturbation

 ϵ : slow-roll parameter





Quadratic perturbation Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}^{(2)} = M_{\rm Pl}^2 \epsilon \left[\dot{\zeta}^2 - \frac{(\partial \zeta)^2}{a^2} \right] + 2\dot{\epsilon}$$

• Entropic mass:

$$m_s^2 \equiv V_{;ss}$$
 –
Hessian of potential

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)



field space curvature

Several interesting scenarios:

 $|\eta_1| \gg 1, m_s^2 \gg H^2$: reduced speed of sound $|\eta_{\perp}| \gg 1$, $|m_s^2| \ll H^2$: modified dispersion relation $|\eta_{\perp}| \gg 1$, $|m_s^2| \gg H^2$, $m_s^2 < 0$: imaginary speed of sound $|\eta_1| \lesssim 1$, $|m_s^2| \gg H^2$, $m_s^2 < 0$: background destabilization

Renaux-Petel, Turzynski (2016) SGS, Renaux-Petel, Ronayne (2018)

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)



 $\mathscr{L}^{(2)} \supset 2\dot{\sigma}\eta_{\perp} \zeta Q_{s}$

 $m_s^2 \equiv V_{:ss} - H^2 \eta_1^2 + \epsilon H^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2 R_{\rm fs}$

Baumann, Green (2011)

SGS, Renaux-Petel (2018)



Strongly non-geodesic motion





Imaginary speed of sound

Integrate out entropic fluctuation

$$\mathscr{L}^{(2)} = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \epsilon \left[\dot{\zeta}^2 - \frac{(\partial \zeta)^2}{a^2} \right] + 2\dot{\sigma} \eta_\perp \dot{\zeta} Q_s + \frac{1}{2} \left[\dot{Q}_s - \frac{(\partial Q_s)^2}{a^2} - m_s^2 Q_s^2 \right]$$
$$\mathscr{L}^{(2)}_{\text{eff}} = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \epsilon \left[\frac{\dot{\zeta}^2}{c_s^2} - \frac{(\partial \zeta)^2}{a^2} \right]$$
Speed of sound:
$$1 - 4H^2 n_1^2$$



$$\frac{1}{c_s^2} = 1 + \frac{4H^2\eta_\perp^2}{m_s^2}$$

Imaginary speed of sound

- EFT is valid if $|m_s^2| \gg H^2$
- Crucially, it is possible to have $m_c^2 < 0$ and $c_c^2 < 0$
- Curious (and worrisome) EFT

 ζ is a ghost

gradient instability $\zeta \sim e^{k|c_s|t}$

• This is expected:

tachyonic instability in UV

 $\zeta \sim e^{|m_s|t}$

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)



 $\frac{1}{c_s^2} = 1 + \frac{4H^2\eta_\perp^2}{m_s^2}$

Imaginary speed of sound

 $\mathscr{L}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \epsilon \left[\frac{\dot{\zeta}^2}{c_s^2} - \frac{(\partial \zeta)^2}{a^2} \right]$

gradient instability in IR

 $\zeta \sim e^{\Lambda t}$







Imaginary speed of sound

• Catastrophic?

No: by definition, EFT not applicable at arbitrarily high energies

• Useless?

Maybe: observables expected to depend sensitively on cutoff scale

• Strategy:

Treat cutoff as phenomenological parameter and search for robust observables



Hyper-non-Gaussianities

- Quantifies duration of transient instability: $\Delta N \sim \log(x)$
- Exponential enhancement of power spectrum:
- Predicts exponentially suppressed tensor-to-scalar ratio
- Ruled-out models become consistent with data (e.g. natural inflation) SGS, Renaux-Petel, Ronayne (2018)

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)



ns

Hyper-non-Gaussianities

powers of x :

$$\frac{\langle \zeta^n \rangle}{\langle \zeta^2 \rangle^{n-1}} \sim \left[\left(\frac{1}{|c_s|^2} + 1 \right) x^3 \right]^{n-2}$$

Enhancement only occurs near flattened configurations

$$k_2$$
 k_3 k_3 k_4

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

Interestingly, higher-point correlation functions are "only" enhanced by

Fumagalli, SGS, Pinol, Renaux-Petel, Ronayne (2019)

etc

"Hyper non-Gaussianities"

- Bjorkmo, Marsh (2019)
 - Ferreira (2020)





Hyper-non-Gaussianities



S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

Bispectrum function

$$k_2, k_3)$$

Calculated **analytically** in the EFT with x = 10

Calculated numerically (no approximation) in a two-field model



 $k_1 = \frac{3k_*}{4}(1 + \alpha + \beta)$ $k_2 = \frac{3k_*}{4}(1 - \alpha + \beta)$ $k_3 = \frac{3k_*}{2}(1 - \beta)$





- Hyper-non-Gaussianities
- OK at tree-level, on CMB scales

$$\langle \zeta^n \rangle / \langle \zeta^2 \rangle^{n-1} \times \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}^{(n-2)/2} \sim \left(f_{NL}^{\text{flat}} A_s^{1/2} \right)^{n-2} \lesssim 1$$

- Beyond CMB scales, this provides model-independent constraints on theories of strongly non-geodesic motion
- What about beyond tree-level?

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

risk of strong coupling?

Fumagalli, SGS, Pinol, Renaux-Petel, Ronayne (2019)



- Set-up: EFT of fluctuations at leading non-trivial order in slow-roll
- Method: in-in formalism
- 3 cubic couplings: $(\dot{\zeta})^3 \dot{\zeta}(\partial_i \zeta)^2 \gamma_{ij}\partial_i \zeta \partial_j \zeta$
- 5 quartic couplings: $(\dot{\zeta})^4 \dot{\zeta}^2 (\partial_i \zeta)^2 (\partial_i \zeta)^4 \gamma_{ii}^2 \dot{\zeta}^2 \gamma_{ii}^2 (\partial_i \zeta)^2$

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

Goal: calculate one-loop corrections to scalar and tensor power spectral

- Approximation: work at leading order in large x
- decaying (-) modes

$$\zeta = \zeta_{+} + \zeta_{-} \sim e^{k|c_{s}|t} + e^{i\psi}e^{-k|c_{s}|t}$$

usual EFT with excited states)

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

Definition of *x* from EFT validity regime:

 $k | c_{s} | /a < xH$

• **Technical difficulty:** mode function is a combination of growing (+) and

Phase $\psi \neq 0$ from quantization condition

• Due to reality, one cannot completely neglect decaying mode (similar to







• One-loop scalar spectrum: enhanced by powers of x

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}^{(1-\text{loop})}}{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}^{(\text{tree})}} \sim \mathcal{P}_{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{|c_s|^2} + 1\right)^2 x^5$$

- Models with $x = \mathcal{O}(10)$, $|c_s| = \mathcal{O}(1)$ are consistent, even if $\mathscr{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is large (e.g. hyper-inflation)
- Excludes models with very large \mathscr{P}_{ζ} or small $|C_{s}|$

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

Definition of *x* from EFT validity regime:

 $k | c_s | /a < xH$

10^{2} 10¹ 10⁰ $|C_{S}|$ 10^{-1} 10^{-2} 10² 10¹





• One-loop tensor spectrum: enhanced by exponential of x



- Models with $x = \mathcal{O}(10), |c_s| = \mathcal{O}(1)$ are again consistent, even if $\mathscr{P}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is large (e.g. hyper-inflation)
- **Excludes** models with very large x (i.e. very large bending)

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

Definition of *x* from EFT validity regime:





Summary

- Models of multi-field inflation with strongly non-geodesic motion may exhibit transient instabilities
- Many interesting predictions (enhanced fluctuations, large non-Gaussianities, novel attractors) but worrisome due to perturbative control
- EFT of inflation with imaginary speed of sound is a powerful theoretical and computational tool
- One-loop corrections dangerously large, but still under control in many models of interest

Summary

- Models of multi-field inflation with strongly non-geodesic motion may exhibit transient instabilities
- Many interesting predictions (enhanced fluctuations, large non-
- computational tool
- One-loop corrections dangerously large, but still under control in many models of interest

S. Garcia-Saenz (SUSTech)

Gaussianities, novel attractors) but worrisome due to perturbative control

• EFT of inflation with imaginary speed of sound is a powerful theoretical and

Thank you for your attention