



Nuclear Structure Effect in Light-Ion Collisions

Xinli Zhao (赵新丽) University of Shanghai for Science and Technology

12/08/2024, USTC, Hefei

In Collaboration with: Guoliang Ma (马国亮), You Zhou (周铀), Ziwei Lin (林子威)





ARTICLE

Studies of pear-shaped nuclei using accelerated radioactive beams

6ei:10.1898/nature12071





ARTICLE

Studies of pear-shaped nuclei using accelerated radioactive beams

L. P. Gaffney¹, P. A. Buthar¹, M. Schede^{1,2}, A. B. Hayen¹, F. Wenneder⁴, M. Albers⁵, B. Bovin⁵, C. Busar², A. Blachev¹, S. Bonig¹, N. Broz², J. Colerkikäl⁸, T. Chapp⁶, D. Chine¹, T. E. Cocoliss⁴, T. Davinson¹⁰, H. De Witsz², J. Dutikas^{1,4}, T. Goahn², A. Excan¹¹, N. Farayar¹, O. Lorgin¹, T. J. Logi, N. Kontologi, ¹¹, K. Kovatersk^{1,4}, ¹¹, B. De Witsz², J. Dutikas^{1,4}, T. Goahn², A. Excan¹¹, N. Nagarotsonsk^{1,4}, J. Patarinen^{10,4}, N. Natarotsonsk^{1,4}, P. Nagiorkonsk^{1,4}, ¹¹, Patarinen^{10,4}, ¹¹, ¹¹

doi:10.1038/nature1207/



Article

Imaging shapes of atomic nuclei in high-energy nuclear collisions

C.J. Zhang, J.Y. Jia, et al., Nature 635, 67 (2024)

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08097-2 STAR Collaboration*





$$ho(r, heta,\phi)=rac{
ho_0}{1+e^{(r-R(heta,\phi))/a_0}}$$

 $R(heta,\phi) = R_0(1+eta_2[\cos\gamma Y_{2,0}(heta,\phi)+\sin\gamma Y_{2,2}(heta,\phi)]+eta_3Y_{3,0}(heta,\phi)+eta_4Y_{4,0}(heta,\phi))$



The study of nuclear structure in high-energy heavy ion collisions uniquely reveals how nuclear properties affect collision dynamics and QGP formation.

ARTICLE

Studies of pear-shaped nuclei using accelerated radioactive beams

6ei:10.3838/nature326



Article

Imaging shapes of atomic nuclei in high-energy nuclear collisions

C.J. Zhang, J.Y. Jia, et al., Nature 635, 67 (2024)

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08097-2 STAR Collaboration*



Cluster Structures







Cluster Structures







Clusters play an extremely important role at all levels of matter.

20

Understanding and describing cluster structure are an important scientific problem.

30

Cluster Structures



Clusters play an extremely important role at all levels of matter.

Understanding and describing cluster structure are an important scientific problem.

J.P. Ebran et al. Nature487, 341(2012)

Collective Flow & Nuclear Structure



Image the shape and radial profile of nuclei using the hydrodynamic response.

Motivation of Transport Models for Small Systems



- Near side ridges are indication of collectivity in small systems.
- 1) Are they real signals from collectivity?
- 2) Is a parton matter formed in small systems?
- 3) Is the matter far off equilibrium or close to equilibrium?

Large systems

Small systems

Motivation of Transport Models for Small Systems



Large systemsSmall systemsPb+PbO+Op+AU+UNe+Ned+AAu+AuCa+CaHe+AXe+Xe......

- Near side ridges are indication of collectivity in small systems.
- 1) Are they real signals from collectivity?
- 2) Is a parton matter formed in small systems?
- 3) Is the matter far off equilibrium or close to equilibrium?

For large systems

- ✓ Transport models are similar to hydrodynamics and work very well.
- > 2) For finite/small systems
- ✓ Non-equilibrium effects are expected to be important.
- ✓ e.g. Parton escape mechanism: interactioninduced response from kinetic theory to the anisotropic spatial geometry.
- To study the properties of parton matter in small systems, transport models are crucial as they address non-equilibrium dynamics.

> A transport model for non-equilibrium.

AMPT is designed to be a self-contained kinetic description of nuclear collisions.



> A transport model for non-equilibrium.

- AMPT is designed to be a self-contained kinetic description of nuclear collisions.
- Evolves the system from initial state to final observables.
- Automatically includes 3D productions of all flavours & conserved charges.
- Automatically includes non-equilibrium initial state & dynamics/evolution.







A Test-bed for New Ideas in AMPT



2. Flow in small systems



3.Non-equilibrium parton escape



4. Longitudinal decorrelations



5. Effects of nuclear structure



PRL 125, 222301 (2020) (Ru+Ru & Zr+Zr collisions)

PRC 103, 064906 (2021) (p+O/O+O collisions)

- 1. Modern nPDFs & spatially-dependent nuclear shadowing
 - ✓ Modern nPDFs are important for pQCD observables such as heavy flavor & high p_T :

$$\frac{d\sigma^{Q\bar{Q}}}{dp_{\rm T}^2 dy_1 dy_2} = K \sum_{a,b} x_1 f_a(x_1,\mu_F^2) x_2 f_b(x_2,\mu_F^2) \frac{d\sigma^{ab \to Q\bar{Q}}}{d\hat{t}}$$

EPS09s nuclear shadowing

✓ Introducing A-scaling for central AA



> AMPT can reasonably describe central A+A data.

Z.W. Lin, et al., NUCL SCI TECH (2021) 32:113 Z.W. Lin, PRC 99 (2019); PRC 101 (2020)

1. Modern nPDFs & spatially-dependent nuclear shadowing

✓ Modern nPDFs are important for pQCD observables such as heavy flavor & high p_T :

$$\frac{d\sigma^{Q\bar{Q}}}{dp_{\rm T}^2 dy_1 dy_2} = K \sum_{a,b} x_1 f_a(x_1,\mu_F^2) x_2 f_b(x_2,\mu_F^2) \frac{d\sigma^{ab \to Q\bar{Q}}}{d\hat{t}}$$



EPS09s nuclear shadowing



 $\checkmark\,$ Introducing A-scaling for central AA



> AMPT can reasonably describe central A+A data.

2. Heavy flavor

Z.W. Lin, et al., NUCL SCI TECH (2021) 32:113 Z.W. Lin, PRC 99 (2019); PRC 101 (2020)

 $gg \rightarrow gg$ cross section in pQCD is divergent for massless g, so HIJING uses a minijet cutoff p_0 :

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} \sim \frac{9\pi\alpha_s^2}{2t^2}$$

But due to heavy quark mass, heavy flavor production has a finite cross section and does not need a cutoff

 $g+g \rightarrow Q+\bar{Q}, \quad q+\bar{q} \rightarrow Q+\bar{Q}, \ \dots$

- ✓ remove p_0
- ✓ include heavy ion in σ_{jet} : $\sigma_{jet} = \sigma_{iet}^{LF} + \sigma^{HF}$
- ✓ correct factor of 1/2 in certain σ_{jet} channels



To propose the Cronin effect as a possible solution to the $D_0 R_{\rm pA}/v_2$ puzzle.

3. Local nuclear scaling C Zhang, Z.W. Lin, et al., PRC 104 (2021)

✓ Propose a more general scaling by using local nuclear densities:

 $b_L(s_A, s_B, s) = \frac{b_L^{pp}}{[\sqrt{T_A(s_A)T_B(s_B)}/T_p]^{\beta(s)}}$

 $p_0(s_A, s_B, s) = p_0^{pp}(s) [\sqrt{T_A(s_A)T_B(s_B)}/T_p]^{\alpha(s)}$

✓ Fit charged hadrons in *pp* to determine $b_L^{pp} = 0.7$, then use central Au+Au/Pb+Pb data to fit $\alpha(s)$, $\beta(s)$.



Self-consistently describe the system size dependence.

3. Local nuclear scaling C Zhang, Z.W. Li

C Zhang, Z.W. Lin, et al., PRC 104 (2021)

✓ Propose a more general scaling by using local nuclear densities:

 $b_L(s_A, s_B, s) = \frac{b_L^{pp}}{[\sqrt{T_A(s_A)T_B(s_B)}/T_p]^{\beta(s)}}$

 $p_0(s_A, s_B, s) = p_0^{pp}(s) [\sqrt{T_A(s_A)T_B(s_B)}/T_p]^{\alpha(s)}$

✓ Fit charged hadrons in *pp* to determine $b_L^{pp} = 0.7$, then use central Au+Au/Pb+Pb data to fit $\alpha(s)$, $\beta(s)$.



Self-consistently describe the system size dependence.

4. Include subnucleon structure of proton

✓ Proton substructure $\rho(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi R^3} e^{-r/R}$



✓ Constituent quark method

Glauber modeling with 3 quark participants Collision criteria $d < \sqrt{\sigma_{cc}/\pi}$



> 3-quark AMPT gives similar results as data.

3. Local nuclear scaling C Zhang, Z.W

C Zhang, Z.W. Lin, et al., PRC 104 (2021)

✓ Propose a more general scaling by using local nuclear densities:

 $b_L(s_A, s_B, s) = \frac{b_L^{pp}}{[\sqrt{T_A(s_A)T_B(s_B)}/T_p]^{\beta(s)}}$

 $p_0(s_A, s_B, s) = p_0^{pp}(s) [\sqrt{T_A(s_A)T_B(s_B)}/T_p]^{\alpha(s)}$

✓ Fit charged hadrons in *pp* to determine $b_L^{pp} = 0.7$, then use central Au+Au/Pb+Pb data to fit $\alpha(s)$, $\beta(s)$.



Self-consistently describe the system size dependence.

4. Include subnucleon structure of proton

✓ Proton substructure $\rho(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi R^3} e^{-r/R}$



✓ Constituent quark method

Glauber modeling with 3 quark participants Collision criteria $d < \sqrt{\sigma_{cc}/\pi}$



> 3-quark AMPT gives similar results as data.

5. Improvement of quark coalescence
6. Implementation of electric charge conservation
7. ...

Nuclear Structure for ¹⁶O in AMPT



Nuclear Structure for ¹⁶O in AMPT



<u> τ_0 </u> Effect on v_2 for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O in Improved AMPT

- $\succ \langle p_T \rangle$ is reasonable in improved AMPT.
- The parton cross section dependence of v₂ is significant.



<u> τ_0 </u> Effect on v_2 for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O in Improved AMPT



The formation time for each parton: $\tau'_0 = const \cdot E/m_T^2$, $\tau_0 = E/m_T^2$

$v_2 \& v_3$ Results for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O in Improved AMPT



- $\succ v_2(p_T)$ results are close to data at low p_T .
- $\succ v_3(p_T)$ results are close to data.

$v_2 \& v_3$ Results for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O in Improved AMPT



- $\succ v_2(p_T)$ results are close to data at low p_T .
- $\succ v_3(p_T)$ results are close to data.
- The effect of cluster structure is significant for v₂.
 The v₃ results are higher than data.
- **0.06** (b) O+O @ 200 GeV, l∆nl>1 (a) O+O @ 200 GeV, l∆nl>1 0.020 0.04 0.015 2 °° $\tau_0' = 6.0 \tau_0$ $\tau_0' = 6.0 \tau_0$ 0.010 |hl<1.5, 0.2<p_<2 GeV |hl<1.5, 0.2<p_<2 GeV 0.02 - W-S Tetrahedron Tetrahedron - Square 0.005 Square Ab initio - Ab initio ¥ STAR ¥ STAR 0.00 0.000^l 10 10 Centrality(%) Centrality(%)

$\varepsilon_2{4}/\varepsilon_2{2}\& v_2{4}/v_2{2}$ Results for ¹⁶O+¹⁶O in Improved AMPT



X.L. Zhao, Y. Zhou, et al., arXiv: 2404.09780

- $\varepsilon_{2} \{2\}^{2} = \langle \varepsilon_{2}^{2} \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_{2} \rangle^{2} + \sigma_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{2}$ $\varepsilon_{2} \{4\}^{2} = (-\langle \varepsilon_{2}^{4} \rangle + 2\langle \varepsilon_{2}^{2} \rangle^{2})^{1/2} \approx \langle \varepsilon_{2} \rangle^{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{2}$
- $\succ \varepsilon_2\{4\}/\varepsilon_2\{2\} \& v_2\{4\}/v_2\{2\}$ results are consistent.
- Compared to the STAR on the v₂{4}/v₂{2} ratio, the tetrahedron and *ab initio* cases give better descriptions of the STAR data.

$\varepsilon_2{4}/\varepsilon_2{2}\& v_2{4}/v_2{2}$ Results for ¹⁶O+¹⁶O in Improved AMPT



X.L. Zhao, Y. Zhou, et al., arXiv: 2404.09780

- $\varepsilon_{2} \{2\}^{2} = \langle \varepsilon_{2}^{2} \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_{2} \rangle^{2} + \sigma_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{2}$ $\varepsilon_{2} \{4\}^{2} = (-\langle \varepsilon_{2}^{4} \rangle + 2\langle \varepsilon_{2}^{2} \rangle^{2})^{1/2} \approx \langle \varepsilon_{2} \rangle^{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{2}$
- $\succ \varepsilon_2\{4\}/\varepsilon_2\{2\} \& v_2\{4\}/v_2\{2\}$ results are consistent.
- Compared to the STAR on the v₂{4}/v₂{2} ratio, the tetrahedron and *ab initio* cases give better descriptions of the STAR data.

The non-flow subtraction methods have little effect on v_2 , especially on v_3 .

$$\frac{dN^{\text{pairs}}}{d\Delta\phi} \propto 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \cos(n\Delta\phi)$$
$$Y(\Delta\phi, p_{\text{T}}^{\text{trig}}) = c_0(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{n=4} c_n \cos(n\Delta\phi))$$

$$c_n^{\text{sub}} = c_n - c_n^{\text{non-flow}} = c_n^{\text{cent}} - c_n^{\text{peri}} \times f$$



Nuclear Structure for ¹⁶O & ²⁰Ne in AMPT



$v_2 \& v_3$ Results for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O & ²⁰Ne+ ²⁰Ne in Improved AMPT



G. Giacalone, B. Bally, G. Nijs, D. Lee, B.N. Lu, W. van der Schee,, et al. arXiv: 2402.05995

- Using the same initial nucleon distributions, AMPT has the different results with hydro.
- Some improvements are needed.

$v_2 \& v_3$ Results for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O & ²⁰Ne+ ²⁰Ne in Improved AMPT



G. Giacalone, B. Bally, G. Nijs, D. Lee, B.N. Lu, W. van der Schee,, et al. arXiv: 2402.05995

- Using the same initial nucleon distributions, AMPT has the different results with hydro.
- Some improvements are needed.

Problems for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O / ²⁰Ne+ ²⁰Ne in Improved AMPT

Same inputs: initial nucleon distributions are consistent with hydro.

> **Different** ε_2 : the initial condition is different from hydro.

To keep the same ε_2 , 1) $\tau'_0 = \tau_0$ for partons.

2) change the reduced thickness in AMPT.

reduced thickness

$$f = T_R(p; T_A, T_B) \equiv \left(\frac{T_A^p + T_B^p}{2}\right)^{1/p}$$

$$T_R = \begin{cases} \max(T_A, T_B), & p \to +\infty \\ (T_A + T_B)/2, & p = +1 \text{ (arithmetic)} \\ \sqrt{T_A T_B}, & p = 0 \text{ (geometric)} \\ 2T_A T_B/(T_A + T_B), & p = -1 \text{ (harmonic)} \\ \min(T_A, T_B), & p \to -\infty. \end{cases}$$



Problems for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O / ²⁰Ne+ ²⁰Ne in Improved AMPT



Problems for ¹⁶O+ ¹⁶O / ²⁰Ne+ ²⁰Ne in Improved AMPT



 \succ For Au+Au collisions in public and improved AMPT, the hadronic effect of v_2 are almost zero.

> For O+O collisions in improved AMPT, the hadronic effect of v_2 is not zero.

> Hadronic effects of v_2 are different in O+O & Au+Au collisions.

> A simple method to solve this problem is the additional formation time for hadrons.

Summary & Outlook

- Improved AMPT roughly reproduce the STAR data for O+O collisions.
- > Different nuclear structures have obviously effect on $v_2 \& v_3$ in AMPT.
- The studies of O+O & Ne+Ne collisions help explore the limit of QGP collectivity.
- Studying the same collision system with AMPT and hydro helps us to understand the properties of the QGP in small system collisions. But, it is necessary to ensure that the same initial conditions are available.
- > AMPT is especially suitable for studies of non-equilibrium dynamics
- Recent developments have made the model more versatile and accurate.



Summary & Outlook

- Improved AMPT roughly reproduce the STAR data for O+O collisions.
- > Different nuclear structures have obviously effect on $v_2 \& v_3$ in AMPT.
- The studies of O+O & Ne+Ne collisions help explore the limit of QGP collectivity.
- Studying the same collision system with AMPT and hydro helps us to understand the properties of the QGP in small system collisions. But, it is necessary to ensure that the same initial conditions are available.
- > AMPT is especially suitable for studies of non-equilibrium dynamics
- Recent developments have made the model more versatile and accurate.

Thank you for your attention!



String Melting Version of A Multi-Phase Transport Model (AMPT)

> A transport model for non-equilibrium.

- AMPT is designed to be a self-contained kinetic description of nuclear collisions.
- Evolves the system from initial state to final observables.
- Automatically includes 3D productions of all flavours & conserved charges.
- Automatically includes non-equilibrium initial state & dynamics/evolution.



Additional Formation Time for Hadrons in Improved AMPT



An additional formation time for hadrons can solve the hadronic effects for O+O collisions.