LGAD用于大型强子对撞机ATLAS实验的亮度测试

第四届半导体辐射探测器研讨会（2024）

樊云云
fanyy@ihep.ac.cn
中国科学院高能物理研究所

Outline

- **Motivation**
- **Luminosity of the ATLAS at HL-LHC with IHEP-IME LGAD**
- **Summary**

Motivation

- **The importance of the luminosity measurement**
  - Affect the physics goals： precision measurement of the Higgs …
  - fast feedback for the beam adjustment: efficient beam steering, machine optimization and fast checking of running conditions
- **High luminosity Large Hadron Collider**
  - Instantaneous luminosity $7.5\times10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$
  - The uncertainty decrease from <2% to < 1%(off line) (LHC is 2%) very challenging！

LHC



L increase 7 times →

HL-LHC



Challenges for luminosity measurement

- **Experience we got from the LHC ?**
  - **Linearity and stability is most important**
  - Several luminosity detectors work together to decrease the uncertainty to1%.
- **LGAD to do the luminosity measurement (new technology)**
  - Fast time resolution, excellent radiation hardness

Luminosity measurement detectors

A LUCID
- Cherenkov light detector with 2x16 PMT at z=±17m from IP
- Bunch-by-bunch luminosity through **hit counting** (many different algorithms in use)
- Additional three prototypes detectors, shown in the inset, are being tested in RUN 3

TRACK COUNTING (TC) B
- Counting tracks in the inner detector(ID)
- Bunch-by-bunch capabilities (although statistically limited)



C Calorimeter measurements
- LAr (EMEC and FCAL)
  - using the total ionization current
- Tile calorimeter
  - using the current drawn by PMT
- Only bunch integrated measurement

RUN 3 Lumi detectors

+ HGTD, BCM', (PLR?) in RUN 4

Beam Monitor of ATLAS with LGAD





- BMA is a prototype for an additional ATLAS monitor for the HL-LHC
- Installed in 2022
- Main characteristics (to cope with μ_b~60 → ~200)
  - Low geometrical acceptance
    - Smaller systematics effects (non linearities with pile-up)
    - Potentially less μ dependence → good performances at high lumi
    - In the present prototype version can not be absolutely calibrated during van der Meer scans
  - Placed in the ATLAS Forward shielding
    - can be replaced at the end of every year → limited radiation damage
    - easy to install and uninstall
    - No cooling needed (good thermal contact with the forward shielding, an ideal heat reservoir)

More on this:
Talk at TIPP 2023

The signal of the BMA with LGAD

- 2022
  - CTP: standard NIM trigger with threshold at 10 mV
- 2023
  - using BMA LUCROD integrated in the OLC
    - DAQ: with a lower threshold at 7.3 mV
    - DAQ (gain-corrected): same as DAQ but increasing the LUCROD amplification to compensate for detector gain losses

BMA signal waveforms



BMA pulse amplitude spectra



- S/N ~ 24!
- Very low acceptance: σ_{vis}~80 μbarn
- BMA pulse amplitude spectra can be considered as **SINGLE MIP** spectra (~ independent from μ value)
- Calibration technique is very promising: under study

Efficiency vs integrated luminosity

- BMA CTP has a linear decrease with around 2.5% per /fb
  The first channel of BMA DAQ has a linear decrease with around 0.7% per /fb (between 10-20 /fb)
  and 1.25% per /fb (between 20-28 /fb)
- For the second channel, BMA DAQ (gain-corrected), the gain was adjusted three times: May 17th (around 8 /fb), June 8th (around 16 /fb) and
  July 12th (around 28 /fb)
- BMA DAQ (gain-corrected) follows LUCID somewhat closely with a total difference less than 2%
- The efficiency loss is dominated by gain loss
- The efficiency and stability can be improved by adjusting the gain regularly!

Ratio of the run-integrated-luminosity of BMA wrt to LUCID



Linearity： μ dependence





- ✓ μ dependence: Any detector response systematic effect which will affect the linearity of the luminosity measurement
- ✓ The data points were fitted with straight line. (P1 is the slope)

✓ The BMA DAQ (gain-corrected) has the lowest P1 Which means the highest linearity

- One order better than the LUCID

Physics performance

- Study of BMA performance for run 456409 the 12-13th of July, with an integrated luminosity of 0.9616 /fb
- The upper plot shows the bunch-averaged mu as a function of LumiBlock for LUCID BiHitOR (the current best luminosity algorithm)
- The lower plot shows the ratio between the integrated luminosity measured in each LumiBlock by LUCID BiHitOR and each BMA configuration as a function of LumiBlock. The ratios are normalized to 1 for each BMA configuration in the first LumiBlock
  - The maximum deviation for BMA CTP is around 1.2%
  - The maximum deviation for BMA DAQ is around 2.7%
  - The maximum deviation for BMA DAQ (gain- correction) is around 1%





Calibration Strategy

It is of utmost importance to monitor the detector response and gain stability

1. **Calibration using a standard RP ^{90}Sr**
   - The present BMA box foresees already the possibility to place a source to regularly calibrate the detector
   - This method has been used during the installation phase of the detector
   - A final RP validation is needed for the installed detector
   - **This solution will conflict with the plan to place BMA close to LUCID**
2. **Self-calibration using P.H. spectra**
   - The pad acceptance is very small, BMA is recording **single track spectra (MIP)**
   - The efficiency variation can be hence be evaluated by the LUCROD amplitude spectra
   - A promising method based on keeping the MIP peak position fixed by adjusting the LUCROD amplification factor is under study



Self calibration using the pulse height spectra

- The pulse height spectra peak is fitted with a parabola (upper plot) and the peak position is plotted as a function of the integrated luminosity (lower right plot) for the BMA DAQ and DAQ (G.C.) channels
- The peak positions trends are very similar to the ones reported in the Efficiency plot (lower left plot) already shown in slide 5
- It looks like the peak position can be used as a monitor of the detector efficiency
- **Next (crucial) step**
  - Prove that the peak position does not depend on the μ-value
  - Work in progress



Efficiency plot





Detector calibration based on MPV



- **The change of electronic gain during 2023 data-taking was done to bring back the Most Probable Value (MPV) back to the value measured at the start of data-taking.**
- **Based on the MPV, it is possible to apply offline corrections on data (as one would do online).**





BMA DAQ
Offline corrected with MPV method

Promising results with BMA DAQ. Analysis on-going with BMA DAQ gain-corrected.

Summary

- **BMA with LGAD shows promising ability of the luminosity measurement**
  - Almost no μ dependence (**good linearity**)
  - High S/N ration
  - Promising self calibration with the bias voltage adjustment
- **Run-3 showed that BMA with LGAD is a good candidate as an online and offline luminosity monitor @ HL-LHC, in addition to LUCID-3.**
- **Promising results in view of a data-driven calibration method based on MPV.**
- **The Luminosity Oversight Group (ALOG) has recognized the key role that BMA can play in the calibration transfer analysis thanks to the potentially smaller systematic effects (no need for μ-dependent corrections).**

Thank you for your attention！

- **Back up**