



# The effect of initial nuclear deformation on dielectron photoproduction in hadronic heavy-ion collisions

Jiaxuan Luo (罗加宣)

#### Phys. Rev. C 108, 054906 (2023)

State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China (ljx16@mail.ustc.edu.cn)

#### Motivation and Introduction

≻ Initial nuclear deformation

> Dielectron photoproduction in deformed heavy-ion collisions

#### ➤ Summary

#### **Photon-induced Process**





Ultra-relativistic charged nuclei produce highly Lorentz contracted EM field
Weizsacker-Williams equivalent photon approximation (EPA):

 $\checkmark$  Transverse EM fields are equivalent to a flux of quasi-real photons

- ✓ Large quasi-real photon flux  $\propto Z^2$
- ✓  $p_{T,max} \sim \frac{\hbar c}{R}$ , 30 MeV @ RHIC & LHC

Photoproduction process:

✓ Photon-nucleus interactions: Vector meson

✓ Photon-photon interactions ( $\propto Z^4$ ): dileptons

### **Dilepton Production in Peripheral Collisions**

Conventionally believed to be only exist in ultra-peripheral collisions ( $b > 2R_A$ , UPCs) to satisfy the coherence condition



> Significant enhancements of  $e^+e^-$  production at very low  $p_T$  in peripheral collisions ( $b < 2R_A$ )

Photon-photon interactions can explain the observed enhancements in spherical Au + Au collisions
In hadronic U + U collisions: nuclear charge number vs. initial nuclear deformation

### Photoproduction in Isobaric Collisions

 $^{96}_{44}$ Ru +  $^{96}_{44}$ Ru and  $^{96}_{40}$ Zr +  $^{96}_{40}$ Zr: the dependence of the observed excesses on nuclear charge number Z 



#### Initial Nuclear Deformation

> Nuclear charge density:

$$\rho_{sph}(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + e^{(r - R_0)/a}}$$

≻ The shape: ellipsoid

✓ rotational ellipsoid  $\rho(\vec{r}) = \rho(r, \theta)$ ✓ a prolate spheroid when  $\beta_2 > 0$ 

> The charge density of a deformed nucleus:

 $\rho_{\vec{v}}(\vec{r}) = \rho[R_z^{-1}(-\varphi_v)R_y^{-1}(\theta_v)R_z^{-1}(\varphi_v)\vec{r}]$ 

✓ the direction of the major axis:  $\vec{v} = (\sin\theta_v \cos\varphi_v, \sin\theta_v \sin\varphi_v, \cos\theta_v)$ ✓  $\vec{v}$  is isotropic in the surface of the unit sphere

Deformed heavy-ion collisions: two limiting cases

✓ Body-body:  $\overrightarrow{v_1} = \overrightarrow{v_2} = (\pm 1, 0, 0)$ ✓ Tip-Tip:  $\overrightarrow{v_1} = \overrightarrow{v_2} = (0, 0, \pm 1)$ 

$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \exp\left[\frac{r - R_0[1 + \beta_2 Y_2^0(\theta) + \beta_4 Y_4^0(\theta)]}{a}\right]}{\frac{Nucleus}{92}} \left[\frac{R_0(\text{fm}) \quad a(\text{fm}) \quad \beta_2 \quad \beta_4}{0.605 \quad 0.2863 \quad 0.093}\right]$$



## Equivalent Photon Flux



The photon flux with energy  $\omega = 1$  GeV in U + U collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 193$  GeV

$$n(\omega, \vec{x_{\perp}}) = \frac{4Z^2 \alpha}{\omega} \left| \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{q_{\perp}}}{(2\pi)^2} \vec{q_{\perp}} \frac{F(\vec{q})}{\left|\vec{q}\right|^2} e^{i\vec{x_{\perp}} \cdot \vec{q_{\perp}}} \right|^2$$

- The pattern from the body orientation exhibits an ellipse
  the polar radius and equatorial radius of the prolate spheroid
- The differences are concentrated around  $R_0$ 
  - ✓ Spherical
  - ✓ Deformed-body
  - ✓ Deformed-tip
  - ✓ Point-like

#### $e^+e^-$ Pair Photoproduction

➤ The cross section of the e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair produced by the two-photon process:  $\sigma(AA \to AAe^+e^-) = \int d\omega_1 \int d\omega_2 n_1(\omega_1) n_2(\omega_2) \sigma(\gamma\gamma \to e^+e^-)$ > The invariant mass M<sub>ee</sub> and rapidity y of the e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair:

$$M_{ee} = \sqrt{E^2 - p^2} = \sqrt{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \qquad \qquad y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E + p_z}{E - p_z} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}$$

Centrality definition to compare with experimental data:

- $\checkmark \text{ the two-component approach } fN_{coll} + (1 f) N_{part} \quad c = \int_{N_{part}}^{\infty} dN'_{part} P(N'_{part})$
- ✓ set f = 0 for simplicity
- ✓ the cumulative distribution function of  $N_{part}$

$$P(N_{part}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i(N_{part})}{N}$$

#### Impact of Initial Nuclear Deformation



#### Isobaric Collisions



 $\blacktriangleright$  4% higher compared to the spherical case in Ru + Ru collisions, slightly smaller in Zr + Zr collisions

> The yields increase in more central collisions, the ratios do not seem to exhibit dependence on centrality

## Centrality Dependence



The impact of initial nuclear deformation on photoproduction does not have centrality dependence.
The impact of initial nuclear deformation on the ratios of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair photoproduction between Ru + Ru and Zr + Zr collisions is negligible.

Conduct calculations of  $e^+e^-$  pair photoproduction in hadronic heavy-ion collisions considering both spherical and deformed configurations

> In hadronic U + U collisions:

- $\checkmark$  describe the experimental data well
- $\checkmark$  significant differences in tip-tip and body-body collisions
- ✓ approximately 3% differences between spherical and deformed configurations

The impact of initial nuclear deformation on the ratios of  $e^+e^-$  pair photoproduction between Ru + Ru and Zr + Zr collisions is negligible (< 1%).

## Thank You !