Search for experimental observables sensitive to higher order QED

Xinbai Li, xinbai@mail.ustc.edu.cn *X. Li et al., PLB [847 \(2023\) 138314](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138314)*

 $SpicyGluons$

[\(Credit:](https://stock.adobe.com/search?k=%22nuclear+fusion%22&asset_id=537881222) Augusto / Adobe Stock)

- QED vacuum "bubble" ∰
- Vacuum pair production and vector meson photoproduction ₩
- Vacuum is polarized ₩
- "Invisible" higher order effect ₩
- Observable candidates sensitive to higher order effect ₩
- Summary ∰

QED vacuum structure - Seeing is believing

 \Box According to QFT, the vacuum contains short-lived pairs \Box vacuum bubbles.

EM field and photoproduction

□ Colliding laser beams in laboratory

Extremely strong electromagnetic field in relativistic heavy ion collisions

At RHIC $b=15$ fm: $E_{Max} = 5.3 \times 10^{16} V/cm$ $I_{Max} = 9 \times 10^{29} W/cm^2$

At LHC $b=15$ fm: $E_{Max} = 1.4 \times 10^{18} V/cm$ $I_{Max} = 2.4 \times 10^{31} W/cm^2$ Four momentum vector of photon: $q^{\mu} = (\omega, q_T, \omega/v)$ Quasi-real: $\frac{\omega^2}{\gamma^2} + q_T^2 \sim 0$

Photon-photon fusion Photon-gluon fusion

Vacuum is polarized!

C. Li, J. Zhou, Y.-j. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B 795, 576 (2019)

STAR Collaboration, PRL127 (2021) 052302

"Invisible" higher order effect

At RHIC and LHC $Z\alpha \sim 0.6$ —— nonperturbative

Multi-photons contribute to "pull" one virtual pair onto the mass shell

"Invisible" higher order effect

At RHIC and LHC $Z\alpha \sim 0.6$ —— nonperturbative

H. A. Bethe, W. Heitler, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 146 (1934) 83 H.A. Bethe, L.C. Maximon, Phys. Rev. 93 (1954) 768

Sommerfeld-Manue type solution

Same results with the standard Feynman diagram approach.

Sizable negative correction!

In April 1990 a workshop took place in Brookhaven with the title 'Can RHIC be used to test QED?' $[98]$. We think that after about 17 years the answer to this question \mathbf{i} (no). However, many theorists were motivated to deal with this

G. Baur, K. Hencken and D. Trautmann Phys. Rep. 453, 1 (2007)

to this question is 'no'" $[26]$. The present results indicate that the answer may turn out to be "yes."

A. J. Baltz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 062302

Life time of virtual pair $> 10^5 \times$ duration time of strong field

Still perturbative…

"Invisible" higher order effect

Still well described by the lowest order calculation?

A.J. Baltz, et al., Phys. Rep. 458 (2008) 1 S.R. Klein, Phys. Rev. C 97 (5) (2018) 054903 M. Aaboud, et al., ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (21) (2018) 212301 J. Adam, et al., STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (13) (2018) 132301 The ATLAS collaboration, ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2019-051 S. Lehner, ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:1909.02508 [nucl-ex] S. Klein, A.H. Mueller, B.W. Xiao, F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (13) (2019) 132301

Multi-pair production?

lowest order perturbation theory may violate unitarity

G. Baur, Phys. Rev. A 42, 5736 (1990) M. J. Rhoades-Brown and J. Weneser, Phys. Rev. A 44, 330 (1991)

Coulomb effects cancel exactly

behave as a neutral object (point like approx.)

Z.-h. Sun, et al., Phys. Lett. B 808, 135679 (2020) \boldsymbol{e} $+e$ − **Photon**

Theoretical setup for pair production

$$
A^{(1,2)}_{\mu}(q)=-2\pi Ze\mu^{(1,2)}_{\mu}\delta(q\mu^{(1,2)})\frac{f(q^2)}{q^2}{\rm exp}(\pm{\rm iqb}/2)
$$

The matrix element

$$
\hat{M} = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^4q_1}{(2\pi)^4} A^{(1)}(q_1) \frac{\rlap{\,/}{p}_- - \rlap{\,/}{q}_1 + m}{(p_--q_1)^2 - m^2} A^{(2)}(p_+ + p_- - q_1) \n- ie^2 \int \frac{d^4q_1}{(2\pi)^4} A^{(2)}(p_+ + p_- - q_1) \frac{\rlap{\,/}{q}_1 - \rlap{\,/}{p}_+ + m}{(q_1-p_+)^2 - m^2} A^{(1)}(q_1) \n= -i \left(\frac{Ze^2}{2\pi}\right)^2 \frac{1}{2\beta} \int d^2q_{1\perp} \frac{1}{q_1^2} \frac{1}{(p_+ + p_- - q_1)^2} \exp(iq_{1\perp}b) \n\left{\frac{\rlap{\,/}{w}_- (p_--q_1 + m)\rlap{\,/}{w}_- (p_- - q_1)^2 - m^2\rrap{\rfloor}}{[(q_1-p_+)^2 - m^2]}}\right\},
$$

$$
P(p_+,p_-,b)=\sum |M|^2
$$

Higher order introduced $F(k) = \int d^2r_\perp \exp(-i{\rm kr}_\perp)\{\exp[-i\chi(r_\perp)]-1\} \hspace{0.4cm} \chi(r_\perp) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz V(r_\perp,z)$ $F(k) = \int d^2r_{\perp} \exp(-ikr_{\perp})[\exp(-2iz\alpha \ln r_{\perp}) - 1] V(r_{\perp},z) = -Z\alpha/\sqrt{r_{\perp}^2 + z^2}$

Straight line approximation **Another type of higher order:** soft photon radiation

Bowen Xiao et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 132301

optical Glauber model: no hadronic interaction, neutron Skin

$$
m_H(b) = \int d^2 r_{\perp} T_A(r_{\perp} - b) \{1 - \exp[-\sigma_{\rm NN} T_A(r_{\perp})]\}
$$

$$
\rho_N(r) = \frac{Z}{A} \rho_p(r) + \frac{N}{A} \rho_n(r) \qquad P_H(b) = \exp[-\text{m}_H(b)]
$$

Mutual Coulomb Dissociation

$$
m_{Xn}(b) = \int dkn(b, E)\sigma_{\gamma A \to A^*}(E)
$$

$$
P_{0n}(b) = e^{-m_{Xn}(b)}
$$

$$
P_{XnXn}(b) = (1.0 - e^{-m_{Xn}(b)})^2,
$$

$$
P_{0nXn}(b) = 2(1.0 - e^{-m_{Xn}(b)})e^{-m_{Xn}(b)}
$$

A meaningful step on a long journey

STAR, Phys. Rev. C 70 (2004) 031902. STAR, STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 052302 PHENIX, Phys. Lett. B 679 (2009) 321. ALICE, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2617. CMS, Phys. Lett. B 797 (2019) 134826. ATLAS , arXiv (2020) [2011.12211]

Point-like approximation Photon flux in STARlight

$$
n(\omega, r_{\perp}) = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi^2 \omega r_{\perp}^2} x^2 K_1^2(x), x = \omega r_{\perp}/2
$$

5.2 σ deviation from the lowest QED Point-like approximation More experimental precise measurements More theoretical investigation

Missing production within nuclei compensate with higher order effect

In search of sensitive observables

- \Box Evaluate the higher order (HO) effects differentially.
- \Box Qualify the sensitivity by calculating the ratio of higher order results to lowest order results.
- \Box Prediction for e, μ , τ pairs production in RHIC and LHC energies.

The intensification of the electromagnetic field towards small impact parameters.

In search of sensitive observables

- \Box Evaluate the higher order (HO) effects differentially.
- \Box Qualify the sensitivity by calculating the ratio of higher order results to lowest order results.
- \Box Prediction for e, μ , τ pairs production in RHIC and LHC energies.

A prominent peak structure near 15 fm. Around 2 times radius of nuclei.

In search of sensitive observables

Amplitude of $cos2\phi$ and $cos4\phi$ modulation: $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{\mathcal{\overline{R}}}}$ 0.1 A_{ϕ} \mathcal{A}^φ $-L_O$ $-HO$ A distinct flip for $A_{2\phi}$ -0.2 –0.2∤ Ω -0.4 -0.4 -0.1 -0.6 Au+Au 200 GeV Au+Au 200 GeV Au+Au 200 GeV $A_{2\phi} \propto \frac{4m^2 \Delta P_T^2}{(m^2 + \Delta P_T^2)^2} \sim \frac{4m^2}{\Delta P_T^2}$ for $\Delta P_T^2 \gg m$,
 $A_{4\phi} \propto \frac{-2\Delta P_T^4}{(m^2 + \Delta P_T^2)^2} \sim -2\left(1 - \frac{m^2}{\Delta P_T^2}\right)$ for $\Delta P_T^2 \gg m$. -0.8 -0.6 -0.2 $\gamma\gamma\,\rightarrow {\text{e}}^+{\text{e}}^ \gamma\gamma \to \mu^+\mu^ \gamma\gamma\to\mu^+\mu^$ $rac{1}{R}$ 1.4 $rac{1.4}{R}$ $\frac{1}{R}$ 0.5 1.2 1.2 Ω -0.5 Ω 10 20 $\overline{30}$ 10 $\overline{20}$ $\overline{30}$ 40 $10¹$ 20 30 40 40 Ω \overline{b} (fm) \overline{b} (fm) \overline{b} (fm) (a) $A_{4\phi}$ for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in Au+Au 200 GeV (b) $A_{4\phi}$ for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in Au+Au 200 GeV (c) $A_{2\phi}$ for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in Au+Au 200 GeV $\Delta \vec{P}_T \equiv \vec{p}_{1T} - \vec{p}_{2T}$ $A_{4\phi}$ A_{ϕ} $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{\mathcal{\overline{R}}}}$ 0.1 -0.2 *C. Li, J. Zhou, Y.-J. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B 795 (2019) 576–5* -0.2 Ω -0.4 -0.4 -0.1 -0.6 Pb+Pb 5.02TeV -0.6 Pb+Pb 5.02TeV Pb+Pb 5.02TeV -0.8 -0.2 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^ \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^ \gamma\gamma\to\tau^*\tau$ A prominent peak structure near 15 fm. $rac{1.4}{R}$ $rac{1}{R}$ 1.4 Ratio Around 2 times radius of nuclei for $A_{4\phi}$. 1.2 1.2 Ω -1 -2 $\frac{50}{b \text{ (fm)}}$ 20 10 $\overline{20}$ 30 40 50 10 20 30 50 Ω 10 30 40 Ω 0 40 b (fm) b (fm)

(d) $A_{4\phi}$ for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in Pb+Pb 5.02 TeV

Summary

 \Box Evaluate the sensitivity of the differential cross section and two luminosity independent observables to the higher order QED effects.

On a long journey to reach a definitive conclusion…

Make it clear where we are…

Try to tell where we would go…

Process and beam energy		p_{Tl} (GeV/c)	$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle I}$	P_{TH} (GeV/c)	Y_{μ}	M_{II} (GeV)
$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-(\mu^+\mu^-)$	Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV	$(0.2, +\infty)$	$(-1.0, 1.0)$	(0, 0.3)	$(-1.0, 1.0)$	(0.4, 2.6)
$\gamma\gamma \to e^+e^-$	Pb+Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV	$(0.5, +\infty)$	$(-1.0, 1.0)$	(0, 0.3)	$(-1.0, 1.0)$	(1.0, 2.8)
$\gamma\gamma \to \mu^+\mu^-(\tau^+\tau^-)$	$Pb + Pb \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$	$(4.0, +\infty)$	$(-2.4, 2.4)$	(0, 0.3)	$(-2.4, 2.4)$	(8.0, 100.0)

Fiducial cuts implemented in the calculation.