Core-crust transition density with symmetry energy in the nonlinear relativistic Hartree approximation



School of Physics, Southeast University

Niu Li(李牛)

Supervisor: Wei-Zhou Jiang Collaborators: Jing Ye, Si-Na Wei, Rong-Yao Yang

Contents



Core-crust transition density



Core-crust transition density: When the density lower than a specific value, the outer core of neutron star becomes unstable under the density fluctuation.

Core-crust transition density

The neutron star radius

M. Fortin, etl. Phys. Rev. C 94 (2016) 035804.

Pulsar glitches

J.M. Lattimer, et al., Phys. Rep. 442 (2007) 109.
N. Chamel, et al., Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 035801.
N. Andersson, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 241103.
J. Piekarewicz, et al., Phys. Rev. C 90 (2014) 015803.
S-N. Wei, et al., Chin. Phys. C 42 (2018) 74.

Crust meltdown in inspiraling binary neutron stars

Z. Pan, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 201102.

Asteroseismology from giant magnetar flares

A.T. Deibel, et al., Phys. Rev. C 90 (2014) 025802.

Core-crust transition density

Hydrodynamic method: Vlasov equation, Master equation

Chomaz et al PR389,263(04), Ducoin, et al.NPA 789, 403 (07), Zheng & Chen, PRD 85, 043013(12)

Thermodynamic method: P&µ instability condition

Douchin & Haensel, PLB 485, 107 (00), Li, et al, NPA 699, 493 (02), Kubis, PRC 76, 025801 (07).

Relativistic RPA: Dyson equation

Lim &Horowitz, NPA 501, 729(89), Carriere, Horowitz, et al, ApJ 593, 463 (03); Ma, et al, NPA 686, 173 (01)

No vacuum effect or no self-consistent vacuum treatment

Contents



> The interacting Lagrangian is written as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \overline{\psi} [\gamma_{\mu} (i\partial^{\mu} - g_{\omega}\omega^{\mu} - g_{\rho}\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}^{\mu} + \frac{e}{2}(1 + \tau_{3})A^{\mu}) - (M - g_{\sigma}\phi)]\psi + 4g_{\rho}^{2}b_{\mu} \cdot b^{\mu}\Lambda_{v}g_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu} - U(\phi,\omega),$$

Where

$$U(\phi,\omega) = -\frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\omega}\omega^2\phi^2 + g_2\phi^3/3! + g_3\phi^4/4!$$

The Lagrangian counterterms* to renormalize the infinite nucleon self-energy is

$$\mathcal{L}_{CT} = \sum_{n=1}^{4} \frac{\alpha_n}{n!} \phi^n$$

* S. A. Chin, Ann. Phys. 108: 301 (1977)

$$\begin{split} U(\phi,\omega) &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\omega}\omega^{2}\phi^{2} + g_{2}\phi^{3}/3! + g_{3}\phi^{4}/4! \\ &\swarrow \\ U^{Ren.}(\phi,\omega) &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\omega}\omega^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{3!}g_{2}\phi^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}g_{3}\phi^{4} + \frac{1}{(8\pi)^{2}}[-(g_{2}\phi + \frac{g_{3}\phi^{2}}{2})\tilde{m}_{\sigma}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{12}\frac{g_{2}^{4}\phi^{4}}{\tilde{m}_{\sigma}^{4}} + (\tilde{m}_{\sigma}^{2} + g_{2}\phi + \frac{g_{3}\phi^{2}}{2})^{2}\ln(1 + \frac{g_{2}\phi + \frac{g_{3}\phi^{2}}{2}}{\tilde{m}_{\sigma}^{2}}) \\ &- \frac{3}{2}(g_{2}\phi + \frac{g_{3}\phi^{2}}{2})^{2} - \frac{(g_{2}\phi)^{2}}{3\tilde{m}_{\sigma}^{2}}(g_{2}\phi + \frac{3g_{3}\phi^{2}}{2})], \\ \text{where } \tilde{m}_{\sigma}^{2} = m_{\sigma}^{2} - g_{\sigma\omega}\omega^{2}. \end{split}$$

* D. B. Serot and J. D. Walecka, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 16: 1 (1986)

The interacting polarization is determined through the Dyson equation :

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_L = \Pi_L + \widetilde{\Pi}_L D_L \Pi_L$$
$$\widetilde{\Pi}_L = (1 - D_L \Pi_L)^{-1} \Pi_L$$

Dielectric function: $\epsilon_L = \det(1 - D_L \Pi_L)$

> The transition density ρ_t , the largest density determined by

$$\epsilon_L = \det[1 - D_L(q)\Pi_L(q, q_0 = 0)] \le 0,$$

$$\begin{split} \Pi_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{00D}^e & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_{sD}^n + \Pi_{sD}^p & \Pi_m^p & \Pi_m^n \\ 0 & \Pi_m^p & \Pi_{00D}^p & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_m^n & 0 & \Pi_{00D}^n \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \Pi_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{00D}^e + \Pi_{00f}^e & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_{sD}^n + \Pi_{sD}^p + \Pi_{sf}^n + \Pi_{sf}^p & \Pi_m^p & \Pi_m^n \\ 0 & \Pi_m^p & \Pi_{00D}^p + \Pi_{00f}^p & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_m^n & 0 & \Pi_{00D}^n + \Pi_{00f}^n \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \Pi_{sf}^0 &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{i=p,n} [\frac{m_{\sigma}^2 - q^2}{8} - \frac{3}{4} \int_0^1 dx (M_i^{*2} + x(x-1)q^2) ln \frac{x(x-1)q^2 + M_i^{*2}}{x(x-1)m_{\sigma}^2 + M_i^2} \\ &- \frac{3}{4} \int_0^1 dx (M_i^{*2} - M_i^2) ln (1 + \frac{x(x-1)m_{\sigma}^2}{M_i^2}) - \frac{1}{2} (3M_i \Sigma_s - \frac{9}{2} \Sigma_s^2)] \end{split}$$

where $\Sigma_s = M_i - M_i^*$. Renormalization at $q^2 = 0$, m_{σ}^2 ?

$$D_{L}^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{g} & 0 & -d_{g} & 0 \\ 0 & -d_{s}^{0} & 0 & 0 \\ -d_{g} & 0 & d_{g} + d_{v}^{0} + d_{\rho}^{0} & d_{v}^{0} - d_{\rho}^{0} \\ 0 & 0 & d_{v}^{0} - d_{\rho}^{0} & d_{v}^{0} + d_{\rho}^{0} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$D_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{g} & 0 & -d_{g} & 0 \\ 0 & -d_{\sigma} & \mathbf{d}_{\sigma\omega} & \mathbf{d}_{\sigma\omega} \\ -d_{g} & \mathbf{d}_{\sigma\omega} & d_{g} + d_{V} + 2\mathbf{d}_{\omega\rho} & d_{I} \\ 0 & \mathbf{d}_{\sigma\omega} & d_{I} & d_{V} - 2\mathbf{d}_{\omega\rho} \end{pmatrix},$$
with $d_{V} = d_{\omega} + d_{\rho}$, and $d_{I} = d_{\omega} - d_{\rho}$.

Contents



Model	g_{σ}	$g_{ ho}$	g_ω	g_2	g_3	$g_{\sigma\omega}$	c_3	M^*/M	$ ho_t$	κ	$R_{1.4}$	M_{NS}^{max}/M_{\odot}	M_{NS}^{max*}/M_{\odot}
RHAn1c	7.72	4.03	8.45	25.19	21.16	0.5	0.0	0.782	0.081	300	13.62	2.048	2.03
RHAn2c	7.59	4.06	7.96	35.58	39.24	0.5	-4.3	0.800	0.081	270	13.44	2.012	1.91
RHAn3c	7.47	4.10	7.39	51.11	88.90	7.0	-9.0	0.820	0.079	240	13.35	1.975	1.72

: Main parameters of RHAnc Models and properties of nuclear matters and neutron stars. M_{NS}^{max*} is the maximum neutron star mass in RHAn Models without $g_{\sigma\omega}$. The masses of σ , ω , and ρ mesons are 512, 783, and 770 MeV, respectively. The saturation density $\rho_0 = 0.16 fm^{-3}$ and binding energy per nucleon $E_b = -16$ MeV at saturation. The transition density ρ_t , incompressibility κ , and radius $R_{1.4}$ of $1.4M_{\odot}$ star are in units of fm^{-3} , MeV, and km, respectively.





A simplified *\u00e9L* by neglecting the Coulomb interaction, photon polarization and the crossing coupling terms

 $\epsilon_{L} = [1 + d_{s}(\Pi_{D}^{s} + \Pi_{f}^{s})] \cdot [1 + 4d_{\omega}d_{\rho}\Pi_{L}^{2} + 2(d_{\omega} + d_{\rho})\Pi_{L}]$ $- 4(1 + 2d_{\rho}\Pi_{L})d_{s}d_{\omega}\Pi_{M}^{2},$

- > The difference between $\Pi_f^s(q^2)$ at $q^2=0$ and m_{σ}^2 is not small, their effects on the ρ_t depart in sharp contrast.
- > $\Pi_f^s(m_{\sigma}^2)$, which is of the same sign of Π_D^s , shifts the zero point of the dielectric function to a larger density, that is, the transition density ρ_t grows clearly with the rise of m_{σ} .





The crust melting induced phase change $\delta \phi_a$ in GWs of a BNS merger with each star of M*=1.3 /M \odot and R=12.5/11.3/11.7 /12.7 km for the EOS SkI6/APR4/SLy4/MPA1. The core-crust transition density is $n_{b;cc}$.

➤ The fraction of the crustal moment of inertia ∆I/I can be derived as*:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta I}{I} &\cong \frac{28\pi \mathsf{P}_{t}\mathsf{R}^{3}}{3M_{NS} \cdot c^{2}} \frac{(1 - 1.67\beta - 0.6\beta^{2})}{\beta} \\ &\times [1 + \frac{2P_{t}(1 + 5\beta - 14\beta^{2})}{\rho_{t}Mc^{2}\beta^{2}}]^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where ΔI is the crustal moment of inertia, I is the total moment of inertia, $\beta = GM_{NS}/Rc^2$ is the compactness parameter, and M_{NS} and R are the neutron star mass and radius, respectively.

Reproducing the pulsar glitch condition: $\Delta I/I >= 7\%$

* J. M. Lattimer and M. Prakash. Phys. Rep., 333: 121 (2000)





Contents



Summary

> A strong correlation between m_{σ} and ρ_t overwhelming the uncertainty of the nuclear EOS in the RHAn models;

➤ Our research indicates that merely adjusting the symmetry energy and/or slope within reasonable regions is difficult to achieve ΔI/I ≥ 7%; Increasing m_σ makes it easier to achieve this goal.

The nonlinear RHA models generally consistent with astrophysical observations and can be further improved.

Thank you !