GPD Measurement at the COMPASS Experiment

The 12th Circum-Pan Pacific Symposium on High Energy Spin Physics

Hefei

November 11, 2024

Po-Ju Lin

Department of Physics, National Central University

Outline

- The COMPASS Experiment
- Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)
- Hard Exclusive Meson Production (HEMP)
- Summary

COMPASS Experiment

Versatile facility with hadron ($\pi^{\pm}$, $K^{\pm}$, p …) & lepton (polarized $\mu^{\pm}$) beams of energy 100 to 200 GeV



COmmon
Muon and
Proton
Apparatus for
Structure and
Spectroscopy

Multi-dimensional Partonic Structures

http://www.int.washington.edu/PROGRAMS/17-3/



SIDIS



Drell-Yan



Wigner Distributions

$W(x, k_\perp, r_\perp)$

$f(x, k_\perp)$

Transverse Momentum Dependent Distributions (TMDs)

$f(x, \xi, t)$

Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs)

Parton Distribution Functions

$f(x)$

Form Factors

$F_{1,2}(t)$



DVCS



HEMP

COMPASS investigates the multi-dimensional structure of nucleon via various processes

COMPASS Experimental Setup



COMPASS Experimental Setup



- ➢ Priamary beam – 400 GeV p from SPS
  - Impinging on Be production target
- ➢ 190 GeV secondary hadron beams
  - h^- beam: $97\% \, \pi^-, 2\% \, K^-, 1\% \, p$
  - h^+ beam: $75\% \, \pi^+, 24\% \, p, 1\% \, K^+$
- ➢ 160 GeV tertiary muon beams
  - $\mu^\pm$ longitudinally polarized

Large-acceptance forward spectrometer

- Precise tracking (350 planes)
  SciFi, Silicon, MicroMegas, GEM, MWPC, DC, straw
- PID – CEDARs, RICH, calorimeters, Muon Walls

Various targets:

- Polarized soild-state NH_3 or ^{6}LiD
- Liquid H_2
- Solid-state nuclear targets

❖ NIM A 577 (2007) & NIM A 779 (2015) 69

COMPASS Experimental Setup



- Priamary beam – 400 GeV p from SPS
  - Impinging on Be production target
- 190 GeV secondary hadron beams
  - h^- beam: 97% $\pi^-, 2\% K^-, 1\% p$
  - h^+ beam: 75% $\pi^+, 24\% p, 1\% K^+$
- 160 GeV tertiary muon beams
  - $\mu^\pm$ longitudinally polarized



- In early GPD studies, transversely polarized target was used.
- Polarization reversal by magnetic field rotation
- 2.5m unpolarized LH_2 target used in GPD dedicated runs

COMPASS Setup for Exclusive Processes



- Priamary beam – 400 GeV p from SPS
    - Impinging on Be production target
- 190 GeV secondary hadron beams
    - h^- beam: $97\% \ \pi^-, 2\% \ K^-, 1\% \ p$
    - h^+ beam: $75\% \ \pi^+, 24\% \ p, 1\% \ K^+$
- 160 GeV tertiary muon beams
    - $\mu^\pm$ longitudinally polarized

Exclusive Muoproduction



COMPASS Setup for Exclusive Processes





Exclusive Muoproduction



COMPASS Setup for Exclusive Processes



To ensure exclusivity:



CAMERA recoil proton detector

Exclusive Muoproduction





CAMERA recoil proton detector surrounding the 2.5m long LH2 target

COMPASS Experiment

2002-2022 COMPASS data taking

2002-2004	DIS & SIDIS, μ^+-**d**, 160 GeV, **L** & **T polarized target**
2005	*CERN accelerator shutdown, increase of COMPASS acceptance*
2006	DIS & SIDIS, μ^+-**d**, 160 GeV, **L polarized target**
2007	DIS & SIDIS, μ^+ -**p**, 160 GeV, **L** & **T polarized target**
2008-2009	Hadron spectroscopy & Primakoff reaction, **π/K/p** beam
2010	SIDIS, μ^+ -**p**, 160 GeV, **T polarized target**
2011	DIS & SIDIS, μ^+ -**p**, 200 GeV, **L polarized target**
2012	Primakoff reaction, **π/K/p** beam
2012 pilot run	DVCS/HEMP/SIDIS, μ^+ **&** μ^- -**p**, 160 GeV, **unpolarized target**
2013	*CERN accelerator shutdown, LS1*
2014-2015	Drell-Yan, π- -**p**, **T polarized target**
2016-2017	DVCS/HEMP/SIDIS, μ^+ **&** μ^- -**p**, 160 GeV, **unpolarized target**
2018	Drell-Yan, π- -**p**, **T polarized target**
2019-2020	*CERN accelerator shutdown, LS2*
2021-2022	SIDIS, μ^+-**d**, 160 GeV, **T polarized target**

Study hadron structure with complmentary tools:

- **COMPASS holds the record for the longest-running CERN experiment**

- 2012 pilot run with 4-week data taking
- 2016-17 dedicated run. 2 x 6 months.

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering
@ COMPASS

Lanscape – Global Programs of DVCS



DVCS



DVCS: $l + p \to l' + p' + \gamma$

- ➢ As the golden channel to access GPDs, DVCS has been the workhorse for GPD Extraction.
- ➢ Its interference with the well-understood Bethe-Heitler process gives access to more info.

- ➢ The GPDs depend on the following variables:
  - x: average longitudinal momentum frac.
  - ξ: longitudinal momentum diff.
  - t: four momentum transfer
    (correlated to $b_\perp$ via Fourier transform)
  - Q^2: virtuality of γ^*

BH Process



DVCS



DVCS: $l + p \rightarrow l' + p' + \gamma$

- With LH_2 target and small x_B coverage

→ focuses on **H** at COMPASS



- The variables measured in the experiment:

E_ℓ, Q^2, $x_{Bj} \sim 2\xi/(1+\xi)$,

t (or $\theta_{\gamma^*\gamma}$) and ϕ (ℓℓ' plane/γγ* plane)

CFF

GPD

REAL part

Imaginary part

$$\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \int_{-1}^{+1} dx\, \frac{\mathbf{H}(x,\xi,t)}{x-\xi+i\varepsilon} + \ldots = \mathcal{P}\int_{-1}^{+1} dx\, \frac{\mathbf{H}(x,\xi,t)}{x-\xi} - i\pi\, \mathbf{H}(x=\pm\xi,\xi,t) + \ldots$$

$$\mathcal{R}e\,\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \mathcal{P}\int dx\, \frac{\mathcal{I}m\,\mathcal{H}(x,t)}{x-\xi} + \Delta(t)$$

Transverse Imaging and Pressure Distribution

Mapping in the transverse plane



M. Polyakov, P. Schweitzer, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A33 (2018)



FT of H(x, ξ=0,t)

CFF

GPD

REAL part

Imaginary part

$$\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{\mathbf{H}(x,\xi,t)}{x-\xi+i\varepsilon} + \ldots = \mathcal{P}\int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{\mathbf{H}(x,\xi,t)}{x-\xi} - i\pi\, \mathbf{H}(x=\pm\xi,\xi,t) + \ldots$$

$d_1(t)$

D-term

$$Re\,\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \mathcal{P}\int dx \frac{Im\,\mathcal{H}(x,t)}{x-\xi} + \Delta(t)$$

Azimuthal Dependence of BH & DVCS







$$\frac{d^4\sigma(\ell p \to \ell p \gamma)}{dx_B dQ^2 d|t| d\phi} = \underset{\text{Well known}}{d\sigma^{BH}} + \left(d\sigma^{DVCS}_{unpol} + P_\ell\, d\sigma^{DVCS}_{pol}\right) + (e_\ell \mathrm{Re}\, I + e_\ell P_\ell \mathrm{Im}\, I)$$

Beam Charge-spin difference & sum

$$\mathcal{D}_{CS,U}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) - d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{CS,U}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) + d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d\sigma^{BH} &\propto c_0^{BH} + c_1^{BH}\cos\phi + c_2^{BH}\cos 2\phi \\
d\sigma^{DVCS}_{unpol} &\propto c_0^{DVCS} + c_1^{DVCS}\cos\phi + c_2^{DVCS}\cos 2\phi \\
d\sigma^{DVCS}_{pol} &\propto s_1^{DVCS}\sin\phi \\
\mathrm{Re}\, I &\propto c_0^I + c_1^I\cos\phi + c_2^I\cos 2\phi + c_3^I\cos 3\phi \\
\mathrm{Im}\, I &\propto s_1^I\sin\phi + s_2^I\sin 2\phi
\end{aligned}$$

Twist-2 >> ■ Twist-3, 🟩 Twist-2 - double helicity flip for gluons (NLO)

Azimuthal Dependence of BH & DVCS







$$\frac{d^4\sigma(\ell p \to \ell p \gamma)}{dx_B dQ^2 d|t| d\phi} = \underset{\text{Well known}}{d\sigma^{BH}} + \left(d\sigma^{DVCS}_{unpol} + P_\ell\, d\sigma^{DVCS}_{pol}\right) + \left(e_\ell \mathrm{Re}\, I + e_\ell P_\ell \mathrm{Im}\, I\right)$$

Beam Charge-spin difference & sum

$\mathcal{D}_{CS,U}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) - d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow})$

$\mathcal{S}_{CS,U}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) + d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow})$

$$d\sigma^{BH} \propto c_0^{BH} + c_1^{BH}\cos\phi + c_2^{BH}\cos 2\phi$$

$$d\sigma^{DVCS}_{unpol} \propto c_0^{DVCS} + c_1^{DVCS}\cos\phi + c_2^{DVCS}\cos 2\phi$$

$$d\sigma^{DVCS}_{pol} \propto s_1^{DVCS}\sin\phi$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\, I \propto c_0^I + c_1^I\cos\phi + c_2^I\cos 2\phi + c_3^I\cos 3\phi$$

$\mathcal{D}_{CS,U}(\phi)$

$$\mathrm{Im}\, I \propto s_1^I\sin\phi + s_2^I\sin 2\phi$$

Twist-2 >> 🟦 Twist-3, 🟩 Twist-2 - double helicity flip for gluons (NLO)

Azimuthal Dependence of BH & DVCS







$$\frac{d^4\sigma(\ell p \rightarrow \ell p\gamma)}{dx_B dQ^2 d|t| d\phi} = \underset{\text{Well known}}{d\sigma^{BH}} + \left(d\sigma^{DVCS}_{unpol} + P_\ell\, d\sigma^{DVCS}_{pol}\right) + \left(e_\ell \mathrm{Re}\, I + e_\ell P_\ell \mathrm{Im}\, I\right)$$

Beam Charge-spin difference & sum

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{CS, U}}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) - d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{CS, U}}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) + d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow})$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma^{BH} &\propto c_0^{BH} + c_1^{BH}\cos\phi + c_2^{BH}\cos 2\phi \\ d\sigma^{DVCS}_{unpol} &\propto c_0^{DVCS} + c_1^{DVCS}\cos\phi + c_2^{DVCS}\cos 2\phi \end{aligned} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\text{CS, U}}(\phi)$$

$$d\sigma^{DVCS}_{pol} \propto s_1^{DVCS}\sin\phi$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\, I \propto c_0^I + c_1^I\cos\phi + c_2^I\cos 2\phi + c_3^I\cos 3\phi$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\, I \propto s_1^I\sin\phi + s_2^I\sin 2\phi \quad \mathcal{S}_{\text{CS, U}}(\phi)$$

Twist-2 >> 🟦 Twist-3, 🟩 Twist-2 - double helicity flip for gluons (NLO)

Azimuthal Dependence of BH & DVCS







$$\frac{d^4\sigma(\ell p \to \ell p \gamma)}{dx_B dQ^2 d|t| d\phi} = \underset{\text{Well known}}{d\sigma^{BH}} + \left(d\sigma^{DVCS}_{unpol} + P_\ell\, d\sigma^{DVCS}_{pol}\right) + (e_\ell \mathrm{Re}\, I + e_\ell P_\ell \mathrm{Im}\, I)$$

Beam Charge-spin difference & sum

$\mathcal{D}_{CS,U}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) - d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow})$ ➡ $C_1^I \propto Re\ \mathcal{F}$ More challenging

$\mathcal{S}_{CS,U}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) + d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow})$ ➡ $C_0^{DVCS} \propto (Im\mathcal{H})^2$ and $S_1^I \propto Im\ \mathcal{F}$ Easier to measure

$$\mathcal{F} = F_1\mathcal{H} + \xi(F_1+F_2)\mathcal{H} + t/4m^2\, F_2\, \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow[\text{Small } x_B \text{ at COMPASS}]{\text{Proton Target}} F_1\mathcal{H}$$

Compton Form factor linked to GPD **H**

Twist-2 >> 🟦 Twist-3, 🟩 Twist-2 - double helicity flip for gluons (NLO)

COMPASS 2016 Preliminary Results



$$\Delta\varphi = \varphi^{\mathrm{cam.}} - \varphi^{\mathrm{spec.}}$$

Proton azimuthal angle

$$\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}} = |p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{cam.}}| - |p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{spec.}}|$$

Proton momentum

$$\Delta z_{A} = z_{A}^{\mathrm{cam.}} - z_{A}^{\mathrm{spec.}}$$

Proton track position

$$M^2_{\mathrm{undet}} = (k + p - k' - q' - p')^2$$

Energy momentum balance





COMPASS 2016 Preliminary Results



- Beam charge-spin sum

$$S_{\mathrm{CS,\,U}}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) + d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow}) = 2[\, d\sigma^{BH} + d\sigma^{DVCS}_{unpol} + \mathrm{Im}\, I\,]$$

$$= 2[\, d\sigma^{BH} + c_0^{DVCS} + c_1^{DVCS}\cos\phi + c_2^{DVCS}\cos 2\phi + s_1^I \sin\phi + s_2^I \sin 2\phi\,]$$

$$c_0^{DVCS} \propto 4(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^* + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*) + \frac{t}{M^2}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow 4\ (\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{H})^2$$

small x_{Bj}

model dependent

Tranverse extension of partons – 2016 data

$$d\sigma^{DVCS}/d|t| \propto e^{-B|t|}$$

$$\langle r_\perp^2(x_B)\rangle \approx 2B(x_B)$$ At small x_B





- ➢ The transverse-size evolution as a function of x_{Bj} → Expect at least 3 x_{Bj} bins from 2016-17 data

Hard Exclusive Meson Production
@ COMPASS

GPDs in Hard Exclusive Meson Production

quark contribution



gluon contribution



4 chiral-even GPDs: helicity of parton unchanged

$H^q(x, \xi, t)$ $E^q(x, \xi, t)$ → **Vector Meson**

$\tilde{H}^q(x, \xi, t)$ $\tilde{E}^q(x, \xi, t)$ → **Pseudo-Scalar Meson**

+ 4 chiral-odd (transversity) GPDs: helicity of parton changed

(not possible in DVCS)

$H^q_T(x, \xi, t)$ $E^q_T(x, \xi, t)$

$\tilde{H}^q_T(x, \xi, t)$ $\tilde{E}^q_T(x, \xi, t)$

$$\overline{E}^q_T = 2\,\tilde{H}^q_T + E^q_T$$

- Ability to probe the chiral-odd GPDs.
- Universality of GPDs, quark flavor filter
- In addition to nuclear structure, provide insights into reaction mechanism.
- Additional non-perturbative term from meson wave function.

Exclusive π^0 Production on Unpolarized Proton

μ p → μ π^0 p

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dt\,d\phi_\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\left(\frac{d\sigma_T}{dt}+\epsilon\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt}\right)+\epsilon\cos 2\phi_\pi\frac{d\sigma_{TT}}{dt}+\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\cos\phi_\pi\frac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt}\right]$$

ϵ : degree of longitudinal polarization

$$\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt}=\frac{4\pi\alpha}{k'}\frac{1}{Q^6}\left\{(1-\xi^2)\left|\langle\tilde H\rangle\right|^2-2\xi^2\mathrm{Re}\left[\langle\tilde H\rangle^*\langle\tilde E\rangle\right]-\frac{t'}{4m^2}\xi^2\left|\langle\tilde E\rangle\right|^2\right\}$$

Leading twist expected be dominant

But measured as ≈ only a few % of $\frac{d\sigma_T}{dt}$

The other contributions arise from coupling between chiral-odd (quark helicity flip) GPDs to the twist-3 pion amplitude

$$\frac{d\sigma_T}{dt}=\frac{4\pi\alpha}{2k'}\frac{\mu_\pi^2}{Q^8}\left[(1-\xi^2)\left|\langle H_T\rangle\right|^2-\frac{t'}{8m^2}\left|\langle\bar E_T\rangle\right|^2\right]$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{LT}}{dt}=\frac{4\pi\alpha}{\sqrt{2}k'}\frac{\mu_\pi}{Q^7}\xi\sqrt{1-\xi^2}\frac{\sqrt{-t'}}{2m}\mathrm{Re}\left[\langle H_T\rangle^*\langle\tilde E\rangle\right]$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{TT}}{dt}=\frac{4\pi\alpha}{k'}\frac{\mu_\pi^2}{Q^8}\frac{t'}{16m^2}\left|\langle\bar E_T\rangle\right|^2$$



S. Goloskokov and P. Kroll (Eur.Phys.J A47, 112(2011))

Exclusive π^0 Selection and Background Estimation

- Exclusivity ensured by cuts on *exclusivity variables, similar to DVCS.*
- Background fraction determined by fitting the exclusivity variables with Monte Carlo simulations.
  - *LEPTO* for non-exclusive background
  - *HEPGEN* of exclusive π^0 for signal





- In 2016 data, non-exclusive background fraction in data → 8 ± 5 %

New 2016 Exclusive π^0 Prod. on Unpolarized Proton

- Kinematic domain: $\nu \in [6.4, 40]$ GeV and $Q^2 \in [1,8]$ GeV^2/c^2, $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.134$





$\mu\, p \rightarrow \mu\, \pi^0\, p$

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dt\,d\phi_\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\left(\frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} + \epsilon\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt}\right) + \epsilon\cos 2\phi_\pi \frac{d\sigma_{TT}}{dt} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\cos\phi_\pi \frac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt}\right]$$

Chiral-odd GPDs

New 2016 Exclusive π^0 Prod. on Unpolarized Proton

- Kinematic domain: $\nu \in [6.4, 40]$ GeV and $Q^2 \in [1,8]$ GeV^2/c^2, $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.134$





$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} + \epsilon \frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(6.6 \pm 0.3_{stat}{}^{+0.9}_{-0.8}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{TT}}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(-4.6 \pm 0.5_{stat}{}^{+0.3}_{-0.3}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(0.2 \pm 0.2_{stat}{}^{+0.2}_{-0.2}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$\langle \epsilon \rangle = 0.997$

- Cross section extracted in a larger (ν, Q^2) domain, compared with the 2012 result. (COMPASS, **PLB** 805 (2020) 135454)
- Comparable $|\sigma_{TT}|$ and $\sigma_T + \epsilon\sigma_L$

2012-16 Exclusive π^0 Prod. Comparison

- Kinematic domain: $\nu \in [8.5, 28]$ GeV and $Q^2 \in [1,5]$ GeV$^2/c^2$, $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.10$





2016 Data:

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} + \epsilon \frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(8.7 \pm 0.5_{stat}{}^{+1.0}_{-1.0}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{TT}}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(-6.3 \pm 0.8_{stat}{}^{+0.4}_{-0.5}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(0.6 \pm 0.3_{stat}{}^{+0.3}_{-0.3}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$\langle \epsilon \rangle = 0.996$

2012 Data:

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} + \epsilon \frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(8.1 \pm 0.9_{stat}{}^{+1.1}_{-1.0}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{TT}}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(-6.0 \pm 1.3_{stat}{}^{+0.7}_{-0.7}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt} \right\rangle = \left(1.4 \pm 0.5_{stat}{}^{+0.3}_{-0.2}\Big|_{sys}\right) \frac{nb}{(GeV/c)^2}$$

$\langle \epsilon \rangle = 0.996$

New 2016 Exclusive π^0 Cross-section Evolution with ν

- Cross section decreases with increasing ν





	$\langle\nu\rangle$ [GeV]	$\langle Q^2\rangle$ [GeV$^2/c^2$]	$\langle x_B\rangle$	$\langle\epsilon\rangle$
$\nu \in [6.4, 8.5]$	7.35	2.15	0.156	0.999
$\nu \in [8.5, 13.9]$	10.32	2.50	0.131	0.998
$\nu \in [13.9, 40.0]$	21.08	2.09	0.057	0.989

New 2016 Exclusive π^0 Cross-section Evolution with Q^2

- Cross section decreases with increasing Q^2





	$\langle Q^2 \rangle$ [GeV^2/c^2]	$\langle \nu \rangle$ [GeV]	$\langle x_B \rangle$	$\langle \epsilon \rangle$
$Q^2 \in [1.0, 1.5]$	1.22	10.54	0.072	0.997
$Q^2 \in [1.5, 2.1]$	1.77	9.81	0.109	0.997
$Q^2 \in [2.1, 3.2]$	2.58	9.82	0.157	0.997
$Q^2 \in [3.2, 8.0]$	4.33	10.39	0.247	0.997

New 2016 Evolution of the Structure Functions





For both $\sigma_T + \epsilon\sigma_L$ and σ_{TT}

→ Relatively larger evolution in ν, smaller in Q^2

σ_{LT} consistent with 0

2007 & 2010 HEMP with Transversely Polarized Target



COMPASS, **NPB** 865 (2012) 1-20, **PLB** 731 (2014) 19

$$\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$$

$$E_{\rho^0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{2}{3}E^u + \frac{1}{3}E^d + \frac{3}{4}E^g/x\right)$$



$\propto Im(E^*H)$

$\propto Im(E^*\bar{E}_T)$

$\propto Im(\cancel{E^*\bar{E}_T} - H^*H_T)$

- Exclusivity ensured by "missing mass technique"



$$E_{miss} = \frac{M_X^2 - M_p^2}{2M_p}$$

- Sensibility to E and H_T

GK Model EPJC 42, 50, 53, 59, 65, 74

2007 & 2010 HEMP with Transversely Polarized Target



COMPASS, **NPB** 865 (2012) 1-20, **PLB** 731 (2014) 19

$$\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$$

$$E_{\rho^0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{2}{3}E^u + \frac{1}{3}E^d + \frac{3}{4}E^g/x)$$



COMPASS, **NPB** 865 (2012) 1-20, **PLB** 731 (2014) 19

$$\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$$

$$E_\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{2}{3}E^u - \frac{1}{3}E^d + \frac{1}{4}E^g/x)$$





- ➢ E^u and E^d are of opposite sign → ω is more promising for GPD study
- ➢ Nevertheless, obscured by the inherent pion pole contribution

Exclusive ω Production on Unpolarized Proton



Experimental angular distributions

$$\mathcal{W}^{U+L}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) = \mathcal{W}^{U}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) + P_b\mathcal{W}^{L}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta)$$

15 unpolarized SDMEs in $\mathcal{W}^U$ and 8 polarized in $\mathcal{W}^L$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}^{U}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) = \frac{3}{8\pi^2}\Bigg[&\frac{1}{2}(1-r^{04}_{00}) + \frac{1}{2}(3r^{04}_{00}-1)\cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\{r^{04}_{10}\}\sin 2\Theta\cos\phi - r^{04}_{1-1}\sin^2\Theta\,\mathrm{cos2}\phi\\ &-\epsilon\cos 2\Phi\left(r^{1}_{11}\sin^2\Theta + r^{1}_{00}\cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\{r^{1}_{10}\}\sin 2\Theta\cos\phi - r^{1}_{1-1}\sin^2\Theta\cos 2\phi\right)\\ &-\epsilon\sin 2\Phi\left(\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\{r^{2}_{10}\}\sin 2\Theta\sin\phi + \mathrm{Im}\{r^{2}_{1-1}\}\sin^2\Theta\sin 2\phi\right)\\ &+\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\cos\Phi\left(r^{5}_{11}\sin^2\Theta + r^{5}_{00}\cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\{r^{5}_{10}\}\sin 2\Theta\cos\phi - r^{5}_{1-1}\sin^2\Theta\cos 2\phi\right)\\ &+\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\sin\Phi\left(\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\{r^{6}_{10}\}\sin 2\Theta\sin\phi + \mathrm{Im}\{r^{6}_{1-1}\}\sin^2\Theta\sin 2\phi\right)\Bigg],\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}^{L}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) = \frac{3}{8\pi^2}\Bigg[&\underline{\sqrt{1-\epsilon^2}}\left(\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\{r^{3}_{10}\}\sin 2\Theta\sin\phi + \mathrm{Im}\{r^{3}_{1-1}\}\sin^2\Theta\sin 2\phi\right)\\ &+\sqrt{\underline{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon}})\cos\Phi\left(\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\{r^{7}_{10}\}\sin 2\Theta\sin\phi + \mathrm{Im}\{r^{7}_{1-1}\}\sin^2\Theta\sin 2\phi\right)\\ &+\sqrt{2\underline{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}}\sin\Phi\left(r^{8}_{11}\sin^2\Theta + r^{8}_{00}\cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\{r^{8}_{10}\}\sin 2\Theta\cos\phi - r^{8}_{1-1}\sin^2\Theta\cos 2\phi\right)\Bigg]\end{aligned}$$

- ➢ $\epsilon \to 1$, small $\mathcal{W}^L$

2012 Exclusive ω Prod. on Unpolarized Proton

SCHC $(\lambda_\gamma = \lambda_V)$

(S-Channel Helicity Conservation)

SCHC implies:

- $r^1_{1-1} + \mathrm{Im}\, r^2_{1-1} = 0$

= - 0.010 ± 0.032 ± 0.047 OK

- $\mathrm{Re}\, r^5_{10} + \mathrm{Im}^6_{10} = 0$

= 0.014 ± 0.011 ± 0.013 OK

- $\mathrm{Im}\, r^7_{10} - \mathrm{Re}\, r^8_{10} = 0$

= - 0.088 ± 0.110 ± 0.196 OK

- all elements of classes C, D, E should be 0

for $\gamma^*_L \to \omega_T$ and $\gamma^*_T \to \omega_{-T}$ OK within errors

NOT OBSERVED for transitions $\gamma^*_T \to \omega_L$



🟥 SDMEs COMPASS

Goloskokov and Kroll, EPJC 74 (2014) 2725

$$r^5_{00} \propto \mathrm{Re}[\langle \overline{E}_T \rangle^*_{LT} \langle H \rangle_{LL} + \frac{1}{2} \langle H_T \rangle^*_{LT} \langle E \rangle_{LL}]$$

Exclusive ρ^0, ω production with trans. pol. target

COMPASS, NPB865 (2012) 1-20
COMPASS, PLB731 (2014) 19
COMPASS, NPB915 (2017) 454-475

COMPASS, **Eur.Phys.J.C** 81 (2021) 126

$\langle Q^2 \rangle = 2.1\ \mathrm{GeV}^2, \langle W \rangle = 7.6\ \mathrm{GeV}, \langle P_T^2 \rangle = 0.16\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$

2012 Exclusive $\boldsymbol{\rho^0}$ Prod. on Unpolarized Proton

SCHC ($\lambda_\gamma = \lambda_V$)

(S-Channel Helicity Conservation)

SCHC implies:

- $r^1_{1-1} + \mathrm{Im}\, r^2_{1-1} = 0$

= -0.000 ± 0.006 OK

- $\mathrm{Re}\, r^5_{10} + \mathrm{Im}^6_{10} = 0$

= -0.011 ± 0.003 Violation

- $\mathrm{Im}\, r^7_{10} - \mathrm{Re}\, r^8_{10} = 0$

= -0.009 ± 0.031 OK

- all elements of classes C, D, E should be 0

for $\gamma^*_L \to \omega_T$ and $\gamma^*_T \to \omega_{-T}$ OK within errors

NOT OBSERVED for transitions $\gamma^*_T \to \rho^0_L$



Goloskokov and Kroll, EPJC 74 (2014) 2725

$$r^5_{00} \propto \mathrm{Re}[\langle \overline{E}_T \rangle^*_{LT} \langle H \rangle_{LL} + \frac{1}{2} \langle H_T \rangle^*_{LT} \langle E \rangle_{LL}]$$

First term dominates

→ Probes $\overline{E}_T$

COMPASS, **Eur.Phys.J.C** 83 (2023) 924

$\langle Q^2 \rangle = 2.4\ \mathrm{GeV}^2, \langle W \rangle = 9.9\ \mathrm{GeV}, \langle P_T^2 \rangle = 0.18\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$

2012 NPE-to-UPE Asymmetry

$$P = \frac{2r^{1}_{1-1}}{1-r^{04}_{00}-2r^{04}_{1-1}} \approx \frac{d\sigma^{N}_{T}(\gamma^{*}_{T} \to V_{T}) - d\sigma^{U}_{T}(\gamma^{*}_{T} \to V_{T})}{d\sigma^{N}_{T}(\gamma^{*}_{T} \to V_{T}) + d\sigma^{U}_{T}(\gamma^{*}_{T} \to V_{T})}$$

NPE-to-UPE asymmetry of cross sections for transitions $\gamma^{*}_{\mathrm{T}} \to V_{T}$

- ➢ NPE: Natural Parity Exchange
- ➢ UPE: Unnatural Parity Exchange



ρ^0

COMPASS, **Eur.Phys.J.C** 83 (2023) 924

- ➢ **NPE Dominance**
- ➢ **NPE → GPDs E, H**



ω

COMPASS, **Eur.Phys.J.C** 81 (2021) 126

- ➢ **NPE ≈ UPE on average**
- ➢ **UPE Dominance at small W and p_T^2**
- ➢ **UPE → GPDs $\widetilde{E}, \widetilde{H}$**

  + Pion pole (dominant)

Summary

DVCS cross sections with polarized μ+ and μ-

- Beam charge-spin sum → Im$\mathcal{H}(\xi,t)$ → Transverse extension of partons as a function of x_{Bj}
- Beam charge-spin difference → Re$\mathcal{H}(\xi,t)$ → D-term, pressure distribution

HEMP of π^0, ρ, ω, ϕ, J/ψ

- Cross setion of π^0 → To be published
- SDME of ρ & ω → Transversity GPDs & Flavor Decomposition
- ϕ, J/ψ → underway

➢ **COMPASS has entered its analysis phase, expect more results soon!**

Backup Slides

COMPASS 2016 Preliminary Results

- **Main background of exclusive single photon events: π^0 decay**

- **Visible** (both γ detected) – subtracted

  A high-energy DVCS photon candidate is combined with all detected photons with energies lower than the DVCS threshold: (4,5) GeV in Ecal (0,1) respectively

- **Invisible** (one γ lost) – estimated by MC
  - **Semi-inclusive LEPTO 6.1**
  - **Exclusive HEPGEN π^0** (GK model)

The sum of LEPTO and HEPGEN contributions is normalized to the π^0 peak in $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ of the real data



Tranverse extension of partons – 2016 data



$$\langle r_\perp^2(x_B)\rangle \approx 2B(x_B)$$ At small x_B



COMPASS **PLB** 793 (2019) 188-194

- ➢ Improvements in the 2016 analysis, relative to 2012
  - \- μ^+ and μ^- beams at same intensity
  - \- More advanced analysis with 2016 data, ongoing
  - \- Improved π^0 contamination estimation
  - \- Better MC description in ν

➢ The transverse-size evolution as a function of x_{Bj} → Expect at least 3 x_{Bj} bins from 2016-17 data

Beam Charge-spin Difference

$$\mathcal{D}_{CS,U}(\phi) \equiv d\sigma(\mu^{+\leftarrow}) - d\sigma(\mu^{-\rightarrow}) \rightarrow c_0^I + c_1^I \cos\phi$$

$$\text{BCSA} = \mathcal{D}_{CS,U}/\mathcal{S}_{CS,U} = A_0 + A_{CS,U}^{\cos\phi} \cos\phi + A_2 \cos 2\phi$$

$$c_1^I \rightarrow \mathcal{R}e\, F_1 \mathcal{H}$$

— VGG

— KM10 – fit to data

- With $\mathcal{R}e\, F_1\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{I}m\, F_1\mathcal{H}$
  → Extraction of D-term

$\mathcal{R}e\,\mathcal{H}$ **> 0** at H1

< 0 at HERMES

Value of x_{Bj} for the node?



COMPASS 2 years of data Eμ= 160 GeV 1 < Q^2 < 8 GeV²

2012 $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$ for Exclusive ρ^0 Production

- Longitudinal-to-transverse γ^* cross section ratio: $R = \frac{\sigma_L(\gamma_L^* \to V)}{\sigma_T(\gamma_T^* \to V)}$
  - Commonly used "effective" ratio ($R' = R$ only if SCHC): $R' = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{r_{00}^{04}}{1 - r_{00}^{04}}$
  - Use of $\tilde{R}$, which takes SCHC violation into consideration, is preferred.



Results of all experiments with $Q^2 > 1\ (\mathrm{GeV}/c)^2$



- Leading-order pQCD predction: Q^2/M_ρ^2 → deviation due to effect of QCD evolution and q_T

Possible RPD for COMPASS++/AMBER

A recoil proton detector (RPD) is mandatory to ensure the exclusivity. A Silicon detector is included *between* the target surrounded by the modified MW cavity *and* the polarizing magnet





A technology developed at JINR for NICA for the BM@N experiment

No possibility for ToF ➔ PID of p/π with dE/dx
Momentum and trajectory measurments
$|t|_{min} \sim 0.1$ GeV